E-ISSN: 1115 - 8425 P-ISSN: 3027-012X
MPRI-JAEE | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ASSESSMENT & EVALUATION IN EDU.
VOL. 13 NO. 8 – JULY. 2026

CURRICULUM RESILIENCE: A FRAMEWORK FOR DESIGNING ADAPTIVE LEARNING SYSTEMS FOR EDUCATIONAL CONTINUITY IN TIMES OF CRISIS

***DR. SANI JAFAR; & **DR. HAMISU SHEHU**

*Department of Education, Faculty of Education, Sule Lamido University Kafin-Hausa, Jigawa State. sanijafar@slu.edu.ng **Department of Education, Faculty of Education, Sule Lamido University Kafin Hausa, PMB 048.Jigawa – Nigeria.
hamisu.shehu@slu.edu.ng

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/mejaee.v13i8.0128

Abstract

The increasing occurrence of pandemics, armed conflicts, climate-related disasters, economic instability, and forced displacement has exposed the vulnerability of educational systems worldwide. The COVID-19 pandemic, in particular, demonstrated the inability of many educational systems to sustain learning during prolonged disruptions. Consequently, curriculum resilience has emerged as a critical concept in curriculum studies and instructional planning. This paper examines curriculum resilience as a framework for designing adaptive learning systems capable of ensuring educational continuity during crises. Drawing upon Resilience Theory, Systems Theory, and Adaptive Learning Theory, the paper proposes a Curriculum Resilience Framework (CRF) consisting of preparedness capacity, curriculum flexibility, inclusive learning systems, technology-enhanced continuity, collaborative governance, and recovery-transformation mechanisms. The paper argues that resilient curricula are designed not only to withstand disruptions but also to adapt, recover, and transform educational

Keywords:
Curriculum resilience, educational continuity, adaptive learning systems, curriculum innovation, crisis-sensitive education, instructional design.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

experiences in response to changing circumstances. It concludes that curriculum resilience should become a central principle in curriculum planning and instructional design, particularly in developing countries where educational disruptions are frequent. The paper recommends proactive curriculum planning, investment in educational technologies, teacher capacity building, and stakeholder collaboration to strengthen educational resilience.

Introduction

Education remains one of the most important instruments for national development and human capital formation. However, educational systems across the world increasingly face disruptions arising from health emergencies, insecurity, natural disasters, economic crises, and population displacement. The COVID-19 pandemic alone disrupted learning for more than 1.6 billion learners in over 190 countries, thereby revealing significant weaknesses in educational preparedness and continuity mechanisms (UNESCO, 2021).

The concept of resilience has gained considerable attention in educational discourse due to the need to ensure continuity of learning under adverse circumstances. Educational resilience refers to the capacity of educational systems to absorb shocks, adapt to changing conditions, maintain core functions, and recover effectively after disruptions (Masten, 2021). Recent studies have emphasized that resilience is not merely about survival but also about transformation and improvement following crises (Reimers & Schleicher, 2020).

Although considerable attention has been devoted to institutional resilience, technological readiness, and emergency response strategies, relatively little attention has been given to curriculum resilience as a distinct area of curriculum inquiry. Since the curriculum serves as the foundation for teaching and learning, its capacity to adapt to changing circumstances is crucial for ensuring educational continuity (Priestley, Biesta, Philippou, & Robinson, 2021).

This paper therefore examines the concept of curriculum resilience and proposes a framework for designing adaptive learning systems capable of sustaining educational continuity before, during, and after crises.

Conceptualizing Curriculum Resilience

The concept of resilience originated from ecological and systems theories and has since been applied across various disciplines, including psychology, organizational studies, disaster management, and education (Holling, 1973; Masten, 2021). In educational contexts, resilience generally refers to the ability of educational systems, institutions, and

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

stakeholders to respond effectively to disruptions while maintaining educational quality and access.

Curriculum resilience may be defined as the capacity of a curriculum to maintain its core educational objectives while adapting its content, instructional strategies, learning resources, and assessment procedures to changing circumstances and crisis situations. Curriculum resilience emphasizes flexibility, adaptability, responsiveness, and continuity in curriculum implementation.

According to UNESCO (2023), resilient educational systems possess the ability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from disruptions while ensuring equitable access to learning opportunities. Similarly, Anderson and McCormick (2022) argued that resilient curricula should be sufficiently flexible to accommodate diverse learning contexts without compromising educational standards.

Traditional curriculum models often assume stable educational environments characterized by predictable instructional schedules, adequate resources, and uninterrupted access to schools. However, contemporary realities increasingly challenge these assumptions. Therefore, curriculum planning must evolve toward resilience-oriented approaches capable of responding to uncertainty and complexity (Fullan, Quinn, Drummy, & Gardner, 2020).

Curriculum resilience extends beyond emergency curriculum adaptation. Rather, it involves the deliberate integration of adaptive mechanisms into curriculum design, implementation, and evaluation processes to ensure long-term sustainability and responsiveness.

Resilience Theory

Resilience Theory provides the primary theoretical foundation for curriculum resilience. Originally developed within ecological systems research, the theory explains how systems maintain stability while adapting to disturbances (Holling, 1973). In educational contexts, resilience refers to the ability of schools and educational systems to continue functioning effectively despite external challenges (Masten, 2021).

Resilience Theory suggests that effective educational systems possess adaptive capacity, absorptive capacity, and transformative capacity. Adaptive capacity enables educational systems to adjust to changing circumstances, absorptive capacity allows them to maintain essential functions during crises, while transformative capacity facilitates long-term improvement following disruptions (UNESCO, 2023).

Systems Theory

Systems Theory views education as an interconnected system consisting of curriculum, teachers, learners, administrators, communities, and policy structures (Bertalanffy, 1968).

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Changes affecting one component inevitably influence other components within the educational system.

From a systems perspective, curriculum resilience requires coordinated interaction among multiple stakeholders. Effective educational continuity cannot be achieved through curriculum modification alone; it requires alignment among instructional practices, assessment systems, technological infrastructure, governance structures, and community support mechanisms (Banathy, 1991).

Adaptive Learning Theory

Adaptive Learning Theory emphasizes instructional flexibility and learner responsiveness. The theory recognizes that learners possess diverse needs, experiences, and learning circumstances, particularly during crises (Siemens, 2018).

Adaptive learning environments promote differentiated instruction, multiple learning pathways, flexible assessment methods, and learner autonomy. These characteristics make Adaptive Learning Theory particularly relevant to curriculum resilience because they facilitate continuity under varying educational conditions (Tomlinson, 2017).

Proposed Curriculum Resilience Framework (CRF)

The Curriculum Resilience Framework proposed in this paper comprises six interrelated dimensions.

Preparedness Capacity

Preparedness involves proactive planning for potential disruptions before they occur. Educational institutions should identify essential learning outcomes, establish contingency instructional plans, and develop alternative delivery mechanisms. Research indicates that educational systems with pre-existing preparedness structures recovered more rapidly during the COVID-19 pandemic than those lacking such arrangements (Reimers & Schleicher, 2020).

Curriculum Flexibility

Curriculum flexibility refers to the ability to modify content sequencing, instructional approaches, assessment procedures, and learning schedules without compromising educational quality. Flexible curricula allow educators to prioritize essential competencies while responding to contextual realities (Priestley et al., 2021).

Inclusive Learning Systems

Educational continuity must be accessible to all learners regardless of socioeconomic status, geographic location, disability, gender, or displacement status. Inclusive

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

curriculum practices contribute significantly to resilience by reducing educational inequalities during crises (UNICEF, 2022).

Technology-Enhanced Continuity

Digital technologies provide important mechanisms for sustaining learning during disruptions. However, curriculum resilience requires multimodal delivery systems that combine online, offline, radio-based, television-based, and community-supported learning approaches to accommodate varying levels of technological access (UNESCO, 2021).

Collaborative Governance

Resilient curriculum implementation depends on effective collaboration among governments, curriculum agencies, school leaders, teachers, parents, communities, and development partners. Collaborative governance enhances coordination, resource mobilization, and collective problem-solving during emergencies (Fullan et al., 2020).

Recovery and Transformation Mechanisms

Curriculum resilience extends beyond immediate crisis response to include long-term recovery and transformation. Post-crisis curriculum review processes should identify lessons learned and integrate them into future planning and implementation efforts (OECD, 2021).

The interaction of these six dimensions creates an adaptive educational ecosystem capable of sustaining learning before, during, and after crises.References (APA 7th Edition)

Global Experiences of Curriculum Resilience during Crises

The significance of curriculum resilience became particularly evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, which disrupted educational systems on an unprecedented scale. Across the world, governments, educational institutions, and curriculum agencies were compelled to redesign instructional processes to ensure learning continuity (UNESCO, 2021).

In East Asia, countries such as Singapore and South Korea leveraged existing digital infrastructures and flexible curriculum policies to facilitate rapid transitions to remote learning. Their experiences demonstrated the importance of preparedness, technological readiness, and curriculum adaptability in sustaining educational delivery during emergencies (OECD, 2021).

Similarly, Finland adopted flexible curriculum implementation strategies that empowered schools and teachers to modify instructional approaches according to local needs. This

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

flexibility enabled educational institutions to maintain learning continuity while preserving curriculum quality and learner engagement (Sahlberg, 2021).

In many developing countries, however, limited technological infrastructure, inadequate teacher preparedness, and socioeconomic inequalities constrained effective educational responses. Numerous learners experienced significant learning losses due to inadequate access to digital technologies and learning resources (World Bank, 2022).

African countries adopted diverse approaches to maintain educational continuity. In countries such as Kenya, Rwanda, and Ghana, educational authorities utilized radio broadcasts, television programmes, printed learning materials, and community-based support systems to complement online learning initiatives (UNICEF, 2022).

Nigeria similarly implemented alternative learning mechanisms through educational broadcasting services and digital platforms. Nevertheless, challenges associated with digital divides, unreliable electricity supply, inadequate internet connectivity, and insufficient teacher training limited the effectiveness of these interventions (Adarkwah, 2021).

These experiences highlight the necessity of embedding resilience within curriculum design rather than relying solely on emergency interventions. Curriculum resilience requires educational systems to anticipate disruptions and institutionalize adaptive mechanisms that support learning continuity under varying circumstances.

Implications for Curriculum and Instruction

The emergence of curriculum resilience carries significant implications for curriculum development, instructional planning, teacher education, assessment practices, and educational policy.

Implications for Curriculum Development

Curriculum developers must move beyond traditional assumptions of stable learning environments. Contemporary curriculum frameworks should incorporate adaptability, flexibility, and responsiveness as fundamental design principles (Priestley et al., 2021).

Future curriculum development efforts should emphasize essential competencies that enable learners to function effectively in uncertain and rapidly changing environments. Such competencies include critical thinking, problem-solving, communication, creativity, collaboration, digital literacy, adaptability, and resilience (World Economic Forum, 2023).

Curriculum content should also address emerging societal challenges such as public health awareness, environmental sustainability, digital citizenship, conflict resolution, and disaster preparedness.

Implications for Instructional Practices

Instructional approaches should increasingly emphasize flexibility and learner-centredness. Teachers must be prepared to facilitate learning across multiple delivery modes, including face-to-face, online, blended, community-based, and self-directed learning environments (Tomlinson, 2017).

The concept of adaptive instruction becomes particularly relevant within resilient curriculum systems. Adaptive instruction enables teachers to modify learning activities, instructional resources, and assessment procedures according to learners' circumstances and needs.

Moreover, instructional planning should prioritize competency development rather than mere content coverage. This approach allows learning continuity even when disruptions necessitate adjustments to instructional schedules.

Implications for Assessment Practices

Traditional assessment systems often become difficult to implement during crises. Consequently, curriculum resilience requires greater emphasis on flexible and authentic assessment approaches.

Continuous assessment, portfolio assessment, project-based evaluation, peer assessment, and performance-based assessment provide viable alternatives capable of supporting learning continuity under diverse circumstances (Wiggins & McTighe, 2021).

Technology-enhanced assessment systems can further facilitate monitoring of learner progress during disruptions while maintaining assessment validity and reliability.

Implications for Teacher Education

Teachers serve as central agents in the implementation of resilient curricula. Therefore, teacher preparation programmes must incorporate competencies related to crisis-sensitive pedagogy, educational technology, adaptive instruction, differentiated learning, and resilience-building strategies (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

Professional development programmes should equip teachers with skills necessary for designing flexible learning experiences and responding effectively to educational disruptions.

Implications for Educational Policy

Educational policymakers must institutionalize resilience as a core principle of educational planning. Policies should support infrastructure development, technological access, teacher capacity building, emergency preparedness, and equitable resource distribution.

Investment in resilient educational systems should be viewed not merely as a response to crises but as a long-term strategy for improving educational sustainability and quality (UNESCO, 2023).

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Recommendations

Based on the discussions presented in this paper, the following recommendations are proposed:

Institutionalization of Curriculum Resilience

National curriculum agencies should formally integrate curriculum resilience principles into curriculum development frameworks and implementation guidelines.

Development of Emergency Curriculum Frameworks

Educational authorities should develop crisis-responsive curriculum models that identify essential learning outcomes and alternative delivery mechanisms for emergency situations.

Strengthening Teacher Capacity

Governments and educational institutions should provide continuous professional development programmes focused on adaptive instruction, digital pedagogy, and crisis-sensitive teaching practices.

Expansion of Educational Technology Infrastructure

Adequate investment should be made in digital infrastructure, internet connectivity, educational broadcasting systems, and learning management platforms to support educational continuity.

Promotion of Inclusive Learning Opportunities

Policies should ensure that vulnerable learners, including learners with disabilities, displaced populations, rural learners, and economically disadvantaged students, have equitable access to educational opportunities during crises.

Adoption of Flexible Assessment Systems

Educational institutions should diversify assessment approaches to include authentic and competency-based evaluation strategies capable of functioning across multiple learning environments.

Strengthening Community Participation

Communities, parents, non-governmental organizations, and development partners should actively participate in educational continuity planning and implementation.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation

Curriculum resilience initiatives should be regularly evaluated to identify strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities for improvement.

Conclusion

The increasing occurrence of global crises underscores the need for educational systems capable of sustaining learning under uncertain and challenging circumstances. The experiences of recent years have demonstrated that traditional curriculum models designed primarily for stable educational environments are no longer sufficient to address contemporary realities.

This paper has conceptualized curriculum resilience as the capacity of curricula to maintain core educational purposes while adapting to changing circumstances. Drawing upon Resilience Theory, Systems Theory, and Adaptive Learning Theory, the study proposed a Curriculum Resilience Framework comprising preparedness capacity, curriculum flexibility, inclusive learning systems, technology-enhanced continuity, collaborative governance, and recovery-transformation mechanisms.

The paper argues that curriculum resilience should become a central principle of curriculum development and instructional planning. By embedding adaptability, inclusiveness, and sustainability into curriculum systems, educational institutions can better ensure continuity of learning during crises while simultaneously enhancing educational quality and relevance.

For Nigeria and other developing nations facing recurring educational disruptions, curriculum resilience offers a promising pathway toward sustainable educational development. Future curriculum reforms should therefore prioritize resilience not as a temporary response to emergencies but as a permanent feature of effective educational systems.

References

Adarkwah, M. A. (2021). "I'm not against online teaching, but what about us?" ICT in Ghana post COVID-19. Education and Information Technologies, 26(2), 1665–1685.

Anderson and McCormick (2022). An investigation into the integration of digital technology in teacher development for enhanced inclusion.

Banathy, B. H. (1991). Systems design of education: A journey to create the future. Educational Technology Publications.

Bertalanffy, L. von. (1968). General system theory: Foundations, development, and applications. George Braziller.

Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. Applied Developmental Science, 24(2), 97–140.

Fullan, M., Quinn, J., Drummy, M., & Gardner, M. (2020). Education reimagined: The future of learning. New Pedagogies for Deep Learning.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 4(1), 1–23.

Masten, A. S. (2021). Resilience of children in disasters: A multisystem perspective. International Journal of Psychology, 56(1), 1–11.

OECD. (2021). The state of school education: One year into the COVID pandemic. OECD Publishing.

Priestley, M., Biesta, G., Philippou, S., & Robinson, S. (2021). Curriculum making in challenging times. The Curriculum Journal, 32(2), 153–164.

Reimers, F. M., & Schleicher, A. (2020). A framework to guide an education response to the COVID-19 pandemic of 2020. OECD.

Sahlberg, P. (2021). Finnish lessons 3.0: What can the world learn from educational change in Finland? Teachers College Press.

Siemens, G. (2018). Learning analytics and adaptive learning. Educational Technology & Society, 21(4), 1–5.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2017). How to differentiate instruction in academically diverse classrooms (3rd ed.). ASCD.

UNESCO. (2021). Education: From disruption to recovery. UNESCO Publishing.

UNESCO. (2023). Education resilience in times of emergencies and crisis (EDURES Toolkit). UNESCO Publishing.

UNICEF. (2022). Reimagining education: Building resilient learning systems for all children. UNICEF.

Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2021). Understanding by design (3rd ed.). ASCD.

World Bank. (2022). The state of global learning poverty: 2022 update. World Bank.

World Economic Forum. (2023). The future of jobs report 2023. World Economic Forum.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]