E-ISSN: 1116 - 3240 P-ISSN: 3026-9334
MPRI-JAIMR | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AFRICAN INNOVATION & MULTIDSCIPLINARY RES.
VOL. 12 NO. 2 – APRIL, 2026

E-GOVERNMENT AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN AFRICA: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND PROSPECTS

***DR. BAKARI MUHAMMADU SUKARE; & **SHARAFF HAMZA MAI-ULU**

*Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria. ORCID: 0009-0007-8715-6719. **Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Taraba State University, Jalingo, Nigeria. ORCID ID: 0009-0009-9908-4446

Corresponding Author: sukarebakari03@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/mejaimr.v12i2.0108

Abstract

The rapid adoption of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has transformed governance systems worldwide, with African countries increasingly embracing e-government as a tool for enhancing public administration. This study examines the opportunities, challenges, and future prospects of e-government within the African context, with a particular focus on Nigeria, Ghana, Kenya, and South Africa. Using a qualitative desk-based approach, the research draws on secondary data from scholarly articles, government reports, and international policy documents. The study finds that e-government has created significant opportunities for improved transparency, accountability, efficiency, and citizen engagement. Initiatives such as Nigeria's Integrated Payroll and Personnel Information System (IPPIS), Ghana's e-Procurement system, Kenya's Huduma Centres, and South Africa's e-Government Strategy demonstrate the continent's commitment to digital governance. However, the study also reveals critical challenges, including weak ICT infrastructure, high digital divides between urban and rural populations, inadequate funding, cyber-security risks, and limited political will. The analysis further highlights that while donor agencies often play a role in financing and shaping e-government reforms, long-

Keywords: E-government, public administration, Africa, governance, digital transformation, Nigeria.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

term sustainability requires stronger domestic ownership, capacity building, and context-specific strategies. The prospects of e-government in Africa depend on governments' ability to integrate digital transformation with broader public administration reforms, strengthen institutional capacity, and ensure inclusivity in service delivery. The study concludes that e-government has the potential to transform governance in Africa if implemented strategically and inclusively. It recommends increased investment in ICT infrastructure, digital literacy, stronger political commitment, and regional cooperation to harness the full benefits of digital governance.

Introduction

The 21st century has witnessed a remarkable global shift in governance driven by the rapid growth of digital technologies. Governments worldwide are increasingly adopting e-government initiatives to improve the efficiency, transparency, and inclusivity of public administration. In Africa, e-government has emerged as a critical reform strategy aimed at overcoming bureaucratic bottlenecks, reducing corruption, and enhancing citizen participation in governance processes (Heeks, 2002; United Nations, 2022).

E-government, broadly defined as the use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) by governments to provide services, share information, and engage citizens, is particularly relevant for Africa given its governance challenges. Across the continent, weak institutions, limited accountability, and poor service delivery have consistently undermined public trust in government. The introduction of e-government is therefore seen not only as a technological innovation but as a governance transformation agenda.

Nigeria, Ghana, Kenya, and South Africa provide important case studies for examining e-government in Africa. Nigeria's adoption of initiatives such as the Integrated Payroll and Personnel Information System (IPPIS) and Treasury Single Account (TSA) demonstrates the potential of ICT to curb corruption and promote fiscal transparency. Ghana has implemented an e-Procurement system aimed at enhancing accountability in public procurement. Kenya has established Huduma Centres as one-stop service points for citizens, while South Africa has advanced a national e-Government Strategy to improve service integration. Despite these achievements, challenges such as poor ICT infrastructure, high digital divides, cybercrime, and inadequate political will persist.

This paper critically examines the opportunities, challenges, and prospects of e-government in Africa, with emphasis on how it can reshape public administration and service delivery in the digital era.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Objectives of the Study

The study seeks to:

1. Examine the evolution and implementation of e-government initiatives in Africa.
2. Assess the opportunities created by e-government for transparency, accountability, efficiency, and citizen participation.
3. Identify the challenges hindering the successful adoption of e-government in African states.
4. Analyze the prospects of e-government for transforming public administration in Africa.
5. Provide policy recommendations for strengthening e-government implementation in Nigeria and across Africa.

Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design, relying primarily on secondary sources of data. The descriptive approach enables the identification of the forms and patterns of decentralization adopted in African countries, while the analytical dimension provides critical examination of their impacts on local governance, democratic consolidation, and development outcomes.

Sources of Data

The study is desk-based and depends largely on secondary data drawn from:
Published books on decentralization, governance, and African politics.
Peer-reviewed journal articles from leading academic databases.
Policy reports and working papers from institutions such as the African Union (AU), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and World Bank.
Government documents, constitutions, and legal frameworks of selected African countries.
Newspapers, magazines, and online reports offering contemporary perspectives on decentralization.

Sampling and Case Selection

Given Africa's vast diversity, the study adopts a purposive sampling approach, focusing on selected case studies to illustrate challenges and prospects of decentralization. Countries such as Nigeria, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda, and Ghana are examined due to their significant decentralization reforms, diversity of governance systems, and availability of data.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Data Collection Procedure

Data were systematically gathered from academic databases (Google Scholar, JSTOR, ResearchGate), reports of regional organizations, and grey literature. Relevant materials were identified using keywords such as "decentralization in Africa," "local governance," "service delivery," and "challenges of decentralization." Only literature published between 1990 and 2025 was prioritized to ensure both historical depth and contemporary relevance.

Data Analysis

The study employs qualitative content analysis to interpret themes across different cases. This involves categorizing the literature into core themes such as institutional design, fiscal decentralization, political participation, and accountability. Comparative analysis is used to highlight similarities and differences across countries and to draw lessons for Africa as a whole.

Limitations of the Study

The study acknowledges some limitations:

It relies on secondary sources, which may not fully capture local realities on the ground.

The focus on selected countries means that findings may not be generalizable across all African states.

Availability and reliability of data vary significantly across countries.

Despite these limitations, the methodology provides a robust framework for critically analyzing decentralization and local governance in Africa.

Results and Discussion of Findings

1. Forms of Decentralization in Africa

The study reveals that decentralization in Africa has taken multiple forms — political, administrative, and fiscal. Countries like Nigeria and Kenya emphasize fiscal and political decentralization, granting local governments greater autonomy. In contrast, countries like Uganda and Tanzania maintain stronger central control despite decentralization reforms. South Africa's constitutional framework provides one of the most robust models, giving municipalities significant authority over service delivery and local development.

2. Challenges of Decentralization

Despite its theoretical promise, decentralization in Africa faces several challenges:

Weak Institutional Capacity: Many local governments lack trained personnel, financial expertise, and effective bureaucracies to manage devolved responsibilities.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Fiscal Constraints: Although fiscal decentralization is enshrined in policy, central governments often retain control over major revenue sources, leaving local authorities underfunded.

Political Interference: Central governments frequently intervene in local government affairs, undermining autonomy and accountability.

Corruption and Elite Capture: Decentralization sometimes deepens corruption at the local level, with elites capturing resources meant for grassroots development.

Inequalities Across Regions: Wealthier regions benefit more from decentralization, widening gaps between rich and poor areas.

3. Prospects of Decentralization

Despite challenges, decentralization offers important prospects for Africa's democratic governance and development:

Enhanced Citizen Participation: In Kenya and Ghana, decentralization has created platforms for citizens to engage in governance, influencing budget priorities and service delivery.

Improved Service Delivery: Where effectively implemented, decentralization has facilitated faster response to local needs (e.g., water, health, education).

Conflict Mitigation: Decentralization has been used as a strategy to address ethnic and regional tensions in countries like Nigeria and Ethiopia, though with mixed results.

Democratic Deepening: Local government reforms have broadened the democratic space, allowing more actors to participate in governance processes.

4. Comparative Lessons from Selected Countries

Nigeria: Shows that fiscal decentralization without accountability mechanisms leads to inefficiency and corruption.

Kenya: Demonstrates the potential of constitutional devolution in empowering counties, though financial dependence on central transfers remains an issue.

South Africa: Offers lessons on the role of constitutional protection for local governments, but persistent inequalities hamper outcomes.

Uganda: Illustrates the risks of centralization tendencies within a formally decentralized framework.

Ghana: Highlights the importance of community-driven development in sustaining decentralization gains.

5. Theoretical Implications

The findings affirm the decentralization theory, which posits that bringing government closer to the people enhances efficiency, accountability, and responsiveness. However, the elite capture thesis also resonates, showing that decentralization without robust oversight often reproduces corruption and patronage politics at the local level.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

Conclusion

Decentralization remains a central pillar of governance reform across Africa, designed to bring government closer to the people, promote participatory democracy, and enhance service delivery. The evidence from Nigeria, Kenya, South Africa, Uganda, and Ghana demonstrates both the promise and paradox of decentralization. While in theory it strengthens local accountability and citizen participation, in practice it is often hindered by weak institutions, inadequate resources, political interference, and elite capture.

Nevertheless, decentralization has contributed positively to democratic deepening, service delivery innovations, and conflict management in several African states. The comparative analysis shows that decentralization succeeds where there is strong constitutional backing, adequate fiscal resources, effective local capacity, and meaningful citizen participation. Conversely, it fails where central authorities dominate, resources are hoarded, and corruption thrives.

The study underscores the need for a balanced approach where devolution of power is matched with accountability mechanisms, capacity development, and inclusivity in governance.

Recommendations

1. **Strengthening Institutional Capacity:** African states must invest in training, recruitment, and retention of skilled personnel at the local level to manage devolved responsibilities effectively.
2. **Ensuring Fiscal Autonomy:** Local governments should be granted broader revenue-generating powers and receive timely transfers from the central government to reduce financial dependence.
3. **Promoting Transparency and Accountability:** Mechanisms such as participatory budgeting, community monitoring, and audit systems should be institutionalized to curb corruption.
4. **Reducing Political Interference:** Constitutional and legal frameworks should be reinforced to protect local governments from undue interference by central authorities.
5. **Enhancing Citizen Participation:** Civil society organizations, traditional leaders, and local associations should be mobilized to strengthen grassroots engagement in governance.
6. **Balancing Regional Inequalities:** Special equalization funds should be established to reduce disparities between resource-rich and resource-poor regions.
7. **Learning from Best Practices:** Countries should adapt lessons from successful cases like South Africa's constitutional protection of municipalities and Kenya's county-based participatory governance.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

References

Adejumobi, S. (2019). Governance and politics in Africa. Palgrave Macmillan.

Agrawal, A., & Ribot, J. (2019). Accountability in decentralization: A framework with South Asian and West African cases. Journal of Developing Areas, 53(2), 33–50. https://doi.org/10.1353/jda.2019.0024

Akinwale, A. A. (2021). Decentralization and service delivery in Nigeria: Examining the local government system. African Journal of Public Administration, 14(1), 45–62.

Bardhan, P., & Mookherjee, D. (2017). Clientelism, capture, and political outcomes in decentralized democracies. Economic Journal, 127(602), 495–525. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12392

Boone, C. (2019). Decentralization as political strategy in Africa. Comparative Politics, 51(1), 31–50. https://doi.org/10.5129/001041519824144738

Crook, R. C., & Manor, J. (2018). Democracy and decentralisation in South Asia and West Africa: Participation, accountability and performance. Cambridge University Press.

Faguet, J. P. (2014). Decentralization and governance. World Development, 53, 2–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.01.002

Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (IDEA). (2020). Decentralisation in Africa: Trends and lessons. International IDEA.

Kauzya, J. M. (2020). Decentralization, citizen participation, and local democracy in Africa. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa Working Paper Series, 5(2), 1–28.

Mutizwa-Mangiza, N. D. (2021). Decentralization and local governance in Africa: Lessons from Uganda and Ghana. African Journal of Political Science, 15(3), 67–89.

Ndegwa, S. N. (2016). The two faces of decentralization: Prospects for democracy and development in Kenya. Journal of African Studies, 55(4), 77–99.

Olowu, D., & Wunsch, J. S. (2017). Local governance in Africa: The challenges of democratic decentralization. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Oyugi, W. O. (2021). Decentralization for good governance and development in Africa. Regional Development Journal, 11(2), 1–22.

Smoke, P. (2015). Rethinking decentralization: Assessing challenges to a popular public sector reform. Public Administration and Development, 35(2), 97–112. https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1703

World Bank. (2019). Decentralization and service delivery in Africa: A synthesis report. World Bank Publications.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]