E-ISSN: 1116 - 3240 P-ISSN: 3026-9334
MPRI-JAIMR | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF AFRICAN INNOVATION & MULTIDSCIPLINARY RES.
VOL. 10 NO. 2 – OCTOBER, 2025

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND ASSESSMENT: A REVIEW OF EVOLVING PARADIGMS, TECHNOLOGICAL FRONTIERS, METHODOLOGIES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

DEDE LAWAN DAHIRU; YANG SHUANGCHUN; ABDULSALAM MUHAMMAD; RUIXIN BAO

Department of Oil and Gas Well Engineering, School of Petroleum Engineering, Liaoning petrochemical University, Fushun 113001, China.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/mejaimr.v10i2.084

Abstract

Environmental Monitoring and Assessment (EMA) are essential cornerstones of effective environmental management for understanding the state of our planet, quantifying anthropogenic impact, and informing evidence-based policy and remediation efforts. This paper provides a critical review of the evolution, current state, and future trajectories of EMA. The transition from traditional, manual sampling methods to advanced, real-time sensor networks, remote sensing technologies, and integrated 'omics' approaches were explored. Key methodological advancements, including the application of sophisticated data analytics, machine learning, ecological modeling, technological revolution in the field, detailing advancements in *in-situ* sensors, remote sensing (RS), and the emerging role of genomics and citizen science are discussed in the context of improving data interpretability and predictive capability. Paradigm shift towards a holistic "One Health" approach that integrates environmental, human, and animal health data was highlighted. A significant section is dedicated to the challenges of "Big Data" management, analysis, and visualization, underscoring the growing importance of machine learning and

Keywords: Environmental Monitoring, Environmental Assessment, Remote Sensing, Biosensors, Big Data, Machine Learning, One Health, Policy.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

artificial intelligence. The review further addresses the challenges in achieving spatial and temporal data resolution, ensuring data quality, and effectively translating assessment results into regulatory action. Finally, imperative for developing standardized, globally integrated EMA frameworks to address emerging threats like climate change and persistent pollutants was highlighted. Key future directions, including the need for standardized protocols, the development of low-cost sensor networks, and the critical importance of translating monitoring data into actionable policy was also identified. This review concludes that the future of EMA lies in smart, interconnected, and democratized systems capable of providing real-time, comprehensive insights for sustainable environmental management.

Introduction

Environmental monitoring is the observation and assessment of the state of natural resources and environmental characteristics such as air, water, soil and biodiversity (Li, Pei, Zhao, Xiao, Sang & Zhang, 2020; Gebrehiwot, Bewket, Mengistu, Nuredin, Ferrari & Bishop, 2021). Environmental monitoring systems collect and measure data to understand current environmental conditions, detect changes over time, identify potential problems and predict future conditions (Shalu, 2023). Human populations interact with the ecosystems surrounding them in some form or other (Thompson, Lantz & Ban, 2020). These interactions, whether they be hunting and harvesting available fauna and flora, agriculture, or nonrenewable resource extraction, involve some degree of management (Goudie, 2018; Ali & Kamraju, 2023). The necessity of managing ecosystems and the way people interact with them is particularly evident for adapting to environmental change, mitigating industrial activity, and conserving biodiversity (Stein, Staudt, Cross, Dubois, Enquist, Griffis ... & Pairis, 2013).

A key component of environmental management is monitoring the act of detecting and analyzing changes within a system in order to inform appropriate management responses (Virapongse, Brooks, Metcalf, Zedalis, Gosz, Kliskey & Alessa, 2016). The accelerating pace of industrialization, urbanization, and climate change necessitates robust and dynamic systems for understanding and managing environmental quality (Patel & Raval, 2024). Environmental Monitoring involves the systematic collection of data on ambient conditions, pollutant concentrations, and ecological indicators over time (Artiola & Brusseau, 2019). Environmental Assessment, in turn, is the process of interpreting this data to evaluate the status of an ecosystem, the magnitude of an impact, or the efficacy of a management intervention (Baker, Sheate, Phillips & Eales, 2013). Together, EMA forms the scientific basis for environmental policy, regulatory enforcement, and public health protection (Lema, 2025).

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

The Earth's environment is under unprecedented stress from pollution, climate change, biodiversity loss, and resource depletion (Saxena, 2025). In this context, environmental monitoring and assessment (EMA) serves as the foundational process for diagnosing environmental health, much like medical diagnostics for human health (Ogidi, Onwuagba & Richard-Nwachukwu, 2024). It involves the systematic collection of physical, chemical, and biological data to evaluate the condition of the environment, track changes over time, and assess the effectiveness of interventions and policies (Ncube & Ngulube, 2024). The discipline has evolved significantly from its early forms, which were often reactive, localized, and labor-intensive (Firoozi & Firoozi, 2023).

Historically, monitoring focused on measuring a few key pollutants (e.g., DDT, SO_2) after problems were identified (Hung, MacLeod, Guardans, Scheringer, Barra, Harner & Zhang, 2013). Today, EMA is increasingly proactive, global in scale, and driven by a suite of sophisticated technologies (Polak, van Rosmalen & Uyl–de Groot, 2020). The advent of high-resolution remote sensing, robust *in-situ* sensor networks, and powerful computational tools has transformed our ability to observe the environment in near real-time and at multiple scales (Sharma, Beslity, Rustad, Shelby, Manos, Khanal ... & Khanal, 2024).

Research in recent decades has demonstrated that environmental monitoring, when properly designed and linked to management bodies, can be done by local people as effectively as by academic or professional scientists, with the added benefits of being less expensive and increasing the likelihood of local people accepting resulting management actions (Danielsen, Enghoff, Poulsen, Funder, Jensen & Burgess, 2021).

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state and future trajectories of EMA. Specifically, it will; Trace the evolution of EMA methodologies, highlighting key paradigm in technological shift towards integrated and holistic assessment frameworks. Critically analyze the current state-of-the-art in monitoring technologies across different environmental media (air, water, soil/sediment, and biota). Discuss advancements in assessment methodologies, particularly in data integration and ecological risk modeling, and also identify, and analyze persistent challenges and opportunities presented by the era of "Big Data," and outline future research directions in EMA.

Fundamentals of Environmental Monitoring Program Design

The fundamentals of designing an environmental monitoring program include defining clear objectives, using a risk-based zone approach for sampling locations, and carefully selecting sampling frequency, methods, and indicators (Suter II, 2001; Gruiz, Meggyes & Fenyvesi, 2017). A robust program must also have established procedures for sampling, handling data, and implementing corrective actions, supported by clear communication and a process for continuous review and improvement (Westgard & Westgard, 2016). An

effective monitoring program is not merely a collection of data points, it is a scientifically rigorous exercise built on a clear foundation.

Defining Objectives

The cornerstone of any program is a clear statement of objectives, which is the goal to determine compliance with regulatory standards to establish baseline conditions, track long-term trends, or to assess the impact of a specific stressor (e.g., a new industrial site), and to dictates every subsequent decision (Gosovic, 2019). Specific objectives should be tailored to the program's purpose, such as protecting public health, evaluating ecosystem health, or verifying the success of a specific project's environmental controls (Biber, 2011).

Sampling Design

A robust sampling design for an environmental monitoring program is crucial and involves defining objectives, selecting representative locations, determining appropriate frequencies and timing (e.g., hourly air quality readings vs. annual biodiversity surveys), and choosing specific sampling and analytical methods. Key elements include establishing clear sampling locations based on risk and activity, setting a sampling frequency and timing that aligns with operational patterns using statistical methods (e.g., randomized, stratified, or systematic sampling) to ensure representativeness and avoid bias, and detailing the equipment, sample size, and techniques to be used (Keith, 2017). The design must also establish alert and action levels to guide response when monitoring results exceed specifications (Arciszewski, Munkittrick, Scrimgeour, Dubé, Wrona & Hazewinkel, 2017). Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) by implementing protocols for field blanks, duplicates, and certified reference materials to ensure data accuracy, precision, and comparability (Grahmann, Terra, Ellerbrock, Rubio, Barro, Caamaño & Quincke, 2022).

The Technological Revolution in Data Acquisition

The field of environmental monitoring has seen a significant paradigm shift, moving from static, *ex-situ* laboratory analysis to dynamic, *in-situ* real-time data acquisition (Salam, 2019). The technological revolution in data acquisition for environmental monitoring is driven by advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI), drones, and the Internet of Things (IoT). These technologies enable real-time, large-scale data collection and analysis to provide more accurate insights, predictive capabilities for events like natural disasters, and automation for tasks that were previously costly and slow (Alotaibi & Nassif, 2024).

Traditional Monitoring Techniques

Historically, EMA relied on manual collection of samples followed by laboratory analysis (e.g., spectrophotometry, chromatography, titrations). While offering high analytical accuracy, these methods are often resource-intensive, provide low temporal resolution,

and suffer from potential sampling bias (Zhang, 2024). The development of miniaturized, more durable, and increasingly sensitive sensors has enabled continuous, real-time monitoring of parameters like water quality (pH, dissolved oxygen, nitrates, turbidity), air quality (PM2.5, PM10, O_3, NO_2), and soil conditions. Examples include electrochemical sensors, optical sensors, and lab-on-a-chip devices (Rahaman, 2025).

Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) spatially distributed autonomous sensors communicate data wirelessly to a central hub, providing high-resolution spatial and temporal data for large areas, such as watersheds or forests (Sahu, 2024). Deployment of low-cost, smart sensors for continuous, real-time monitoring of parameters like pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen, and specific contaminants (e.g., NO_x, SO_2) (Al-Amri, 2025).

Remote Sensing and Earth Observation

Utilization of satellite and aerial imagery (LiDAR, hyperspectral imaging) for macro-scale assessment of land use/land cover change, water quality (e.g., chlorophyll a concentration), and atmospheric composition (CO_2 plumes, aerosol optical depth). RS provides invaluable spatial coverage that ground-based monitoring often cannot achieve (Kaufmann, Förster, Wulf, Segl, Guanter, Bochow ... & EnMAP, 2012). Satellites like Landsat, Sentinel, and MODIS provide synoptic, repetitive coverage of the globe. They are indispensable for monitoring land-use change, deforestation, algal blooms, sea surface temperature, and atmospheric composition (Singh, Ahirwal, Ramteke, Kantharajan, & Sarma, 2025).

Drones bridge the gap between ground-based and satellite observations, offering high spatial resolution and flexibility for applications like precision agriculture, wetland mapping, and post-disaster assessment. Offer a flexible, high-resolution intermediary between ground and satellite-based monitoring, particularly useful for hazardous sites or detailed micro-scale habitat mapping (Karahan, Demircan, Özgeriş, Gökçe & Karahan, 2025). LiDAR and hyperspectral imaging, technologies provide detailed 3D structural information and continuous spectral data, respectively, enabling advanced analysis of vegetation health, habitat structure, and material composition (Kim & Lim, 2025).

Biosensors and 'Omics Technologies

Biosensor's devices used a biological element (e.g., enzyme, antibody, whole cell) coupled with a physicochemical detector to measure specific pollutants or toxicity, offering high specificity and potential for low-cost deployment (Huang, Lin, Nguyen, Hussain, Bui & Ngo, 2023). Genomic tools, metagenomics allows for the comprehensive analysis of microbial communities in an environmental sample, providing insights into biodiversity, ecosystem function, and the presence of pathogens or genes related to pollutant degradation (e.g., antibiotic resistance genes) (Chettri, Verma, Chirania & Verma, 2024).

Analyzing environmental DNA ($_e$DNA}) to rapidly inventory biodiversity, detect invasive species, or characterize microbial communities as indicators of ecosystem health (e.g., wastewater monitoring for pathogens) (Mandal & Das, 2025). Transcriptomics/proteomics/metabolomics for assessing the stress responses of indicator organisms by analyzing gene expression, protein production, or metabolite profiles. These approaches provide a sensitive, mechanistic understanding of contaminant effects long before physical symptoms appear (Betz, 2020).

Citizen Science and Participatory Monitoring

The involvement of the public in data collection is democratizing EMA. Using smartphone apps and low-cost kits, citizens can contribute vast amounts of data on topics like air quality, noise pollution, and biodiversity (e.g., iNaturalist, AirVisual). While challenges in data quality persist, the spatial and temporal coverage offered by these initiatives is unparalleled (Marfo & Obeng-Gyasi, 2024).

Advancements in Environmental Assessment Methodologies

Advancements in environmental assessment methodologies focus on integrating technology like AI and big data, and using tools such as remote sensing and GIS for more accurate and efficient monitoring (Alotaibi & Nassif, 2024). New approaches also include a greater emphasis on biodiversity and climate change impacts, incorporating advanced detection technologies like biosensors, and using more robust methods like strategic environmental assessment (SEA) for policy-level decisions (Salam, 2019). Effective assessment transcends mere data collection; it requires robust methods for synthesis, interpretation, and prediction (Ogidi, Onwuagba & Richard-Nwachukwu, 2024).

Data Management and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC)

The vast, disparate datasets generated by modern monitoring require sophisticated management systems. A key challenge is data harmonization, particularly when integrating data from heterogeneous sources (e.g., WSN, RS, citizen science) (Sun & Scanlon, 2019). Robust QA/QC protocols are essential to validate sensor reliability and minimize data uncertainty (Grahmann, Terra, Ellerbrock, Rubio, Barro, Caamaño & Quincke, 2022).

Data Analysis and Interpretation

This involves statistical analysis (e.g., trend analysis, multivariate statistics), geospatial analysis (using GIS), and the development of environmental indices (e.g., Water Quality Index, Air Quality Index) to simplify complex data for policymakers and the public (Kumar & Singh, 2024).

The "One Health" Approach

A leading paradigm in modern assessment is "One Health," which recognizes the inextricable linkages between human, animal, and ecosystem health (Roy, Malla, Dekari & Choudhury, 2025). EMA is now increasingly integrated with epidemiological and veterinary data to understand, for example, how land-use change influences the emergence of zoonotic diseases or how environmental pollutants affect both wildlife and human populations (Movalli, Krone, Osborn & Pain, 2018).

The "Big Data" Challenge and the Role of AI

The "Big Data" challenge involves handling, processing, and analyzing massive and complex datasets, and Artificial Intelligence (AI) provides the tools to overcome this challenge (Himeur, Elnour, Fadli, Meskin, Petri, Rezgui ... & Amira, 2023). AI uses algorithms to find patterns, generate predictions, and extract actionable insights from big data that would be too time-consuming or difficult for traditional methods to find (Zaripova, Kosulin, Shkinderov & Rakhmatullin, 2023). The synergy between them transforms raw data into valuable intelligence for decision-making, though significant challenges remain around data quality, privacy, and implementation (Duan, Edwards & Dwivedi, 2019). The proliferation of monitoring technologies has led to an explosion in the volume, velocity, and variety of environmental data-the "Big Data" challenge (Himeur, Elnour, Fadli, Meskin, Petri, Rezgui ... & Amira, 2023).

Data Management and Curation

Robust cyberinfrastructure, data standards (e.g., OGC standards), and open data platforms are essential for storing, sharing, and ensuring the long-term usability of environmental data (Hullurappa & Addanki, 2025).

Machine Learning and Predictive Modeling

Machine learning (ML) is the engine that powers predictive modeling, using algorithms to analyze historical data and identify patterns to predict future outcomes (Strielkowski, Vlasov, Selivanov, Muraviev & Shakhnov, 2023). Predictive modeling is the process of building and using mathematical models to forecast what might happen next, which becomes more accurate as the machine learning model learns from new data (Gupta, Sharma & Alam, 2024). In essence, machine learning provides the tools and techniques that make predictive modeling possible, allowing organizations to make data-driven predictions to improve decision-making and anticipate trends (Ramya, Yerraguravagari, Gaikwad & Gupta, 2024). The volume and complexity of environmental data make manual analysis infeasible. ML techniques are increasingly applied for pattern recognition, image analysis, classification and clustering, time-series forecasting, source apportionment, etc.

Integrated Ecological Risk Assessment (I-ERA)

Traditional ERA often focuses on a single stressor and receptor. I-ERA attempts to model the cumulative and synergistic effects of multiple stressors (chemical, physical, biological) on entire ecosystems. This requires integrating monitoring data with sophisticated transport, fate, and ecological effect models, moving towards a probabilistic rather than deterministic assessment framework (Di Guardo, Gouin, MacLeod & Scheringer, 2018).

ERA is a formal process that uses monitoring data to estimate the likelihood of adverse ecological effects resulting from exposure to one or more stressors. It provides a structured framework for prioritizing risks and managing contaminated sites (Elsharkawy & Sharkawy, 2025).

Data Resolution and Standardization

Achieving the necessary spatial and temporal resolution, especially in large, complex systems, remains difficult. A lack of standardized protocols hinders data comparability across different regions and studies (Verburg, Neumann & Nol, 2011).

Data-to-Action Translation

A significant gap often exists between generating assessment results and implementing timely, effective policy changes. This is often a function of communication, regulatory inertia, and public engagement (Snilstveit, Vojtkova, Bhavsar & Gaarder, 2013).

Cost and Accessibility

Advanced monitoring technologies, particularly omics and high-resolution RS, can be prohibitively expensive, leading to a global disparity in EMA capability (Matthews, Hanison & Nirmalan, 2016).

Low-Cost Sensor Democratization

Further development of accurate, low-maintenance, and low-cost sensor technologies to facilitate citizen science and expand monitoring capacity in resource-limited settings. Establishing QA/QC protocols for emerging tools like low-cost sensors and genomic methods is critical for their widespread adoption in regulatory contexts (McGrath & Scanaill, 2014).

Digital Twin Earth

The creation of high-fidelity, dynamic models (Digital Twins) of ecosystems that integrate real-time sensor data with complex earth system models to provide rapid, scenario-based predictions for emergency response and long-term planning (Zhao, Song, Yu, Xing, Xu, Li

& Wang, 2025). The future lies in moving from retrospective assessment to real-time, actionable intelligence for managers and policymakers (e.g., early warning systems).

Global Data Interoperability

Investment in open-source platforms and international agreements to ensure data sharing and interoperability, enabling global assessments of transnational issues like atmospheric transport of pollution and marine plastic litter (Lynch, 2018). Developing frameworks to seamlessly integrate data from diverse sources (sensors, satellites, citizens) remains a major challenge.

Ecological and Human Health Nexus

Future assessments must more effectively link environmental exposures (via monitoring) to direct human health outcomes, focusing on the exposome concept-the totality of environmental exposures across an individual's lifespan (Merra, Gualtieri, La Placa, Frank, Della Morte, De Lorenzo & Di Renzo, 2024).

Closing the Science-Policy Gap

A persistent challenge is effectively communicating complex scientific findings to policymakers to drive evidence-based environmental governance (Collins, Coughlin & Randall, 2019).

Conclusion

EMA is a rapidly evolving discipline driven technological innovation and the urgency of global environmental challenges by transforming from a descriptive, localized practice into a dynamic, technology-driven science. The integration of advanced *in-situ* sensors, and the shift towards real-time, high-resolution, and biologically-informed monitoring, coupled with the analytical power of machine learning, offers unprecedented opportunities for proactive environmental stewardship, increasingly detailed and holistic picture of our changing planet. However, this data deluge brings its own challenges related to (data quality, standardization, and policy translation persist, the trajectory points toward highly integrated, predictive, and globally connected EMA systems), necessitating sophisticated "Big Data" analytics and AI-driven approaches. Continued research and investment in these areas are critical to sustaining the health of the planet and its inhabitants. As we move forward, the ultimate success of EMA will be measured not by the volume of data we collect, but by our ability to translate this information into effective policies and actions that safeguard environmental and human health for future generations. The path forward is one of smarter integration, intelligent analysis, and stronger science-policy linkages.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]

References

Al-Amri, A. M. (2025). Printed sensors for environmental monitoring: Advancements, challenges, and future directions. *Chemosensors*, *13*(8), 285.

Ali, M. A., & Kamraju, M. (2023). *Natural resources and society: understanding the complex relationship between humans and the environment*. Springer Nature.

Alotaibi, E., & Nassif, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence in environmental monitoring: in-depth analysis. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, *4*(1), 84.

Arciszewski, T. J., Munkittrick, K. R., Scrimgeour, G. J., Dubé, M. G., Wrona, F. J., & Hazewinkel, R. R. (2017). Using adaptive processes and adverse outcome pathways to develop meaningful, robust, and actionable environmental monitoring programs. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management*, *13*(5), 877-891.

Artiola, J. F., & Brusseau, M. L. (2019). The role of environmental monitoring in pollution science. In *Environmental and pollution science* (pp. 149-162). Academic Press.

Baker, J., Sheate, W. R., Phillips, P., & Eales, R. (2013). Ecosystem services in environmental assessment—Help or hindrance? *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *40*, 3-13.

Betz, A. (2020). *Improving analysis and interpretation of transcriptomics and proteomics in environmental sciences* (Doctoral dissertation, ETH Zurich).

Biber, E. (2011). The problem of environmental monitoring. *U. Colo. L. Rev.*, *83*, 1.

Chettri, D., Verma, A. K., Chirania, M., & Verma, A. K. (2024). Metagenomic approaches in bioremediation of environmental pollutants. *Environmental Pollution*, *363*, 125297.

Collins, A. M., Coughlin, D., & Randall, N. (2019). Engaging environmental policy-makers with systematic reviews: challenges, solutions and lessons learned. *Environmental Evidence*, *8*(1), 1-8.

Danielsen, F., Enghoff, M., Poulsen, M. K., Funder, M., Jensen, P. M., & Burgess, N. D. (2021). The concept, practice, application, and results of locally based monitoring of the environment. *BioScience*, *71*(5), 484-502.

Di Guardo, A., Gouin, T., MacLeod, M., & Scheringer, M. (2018). Environmental fate and exposure models: advances and challenges in 21 st century chemical risk assessment. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts*, *20*(1), 58-71.

Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Artificial intelligence for decision making in the era of Big Data–evolution, challenges and research agenda. *International journal of information management*, *48*, 63-71.

Elsharkawy, E. E., & Sharkawy, A. A. (2025). Environmental risk assessment (ERA). *Archives of Clinical Toxicology*, *7*(1), 46-50.

Firoozi, A. A. (2023). Application of machine learning in geotechnical engineering for risk assessment. In *Machine Learning and Data Mining Annual Volume 2023*. IntechOpen.

Gebrehiwot, S. G., Bewket, W., Mengistu, T., Nuredin, H., Ferrari, C. A., & Bishop, K. (2021). Monitoring and assessment of environmental resources in the changing landscape of Ethiopia: a focus on forests and water. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *193*(10), 624.

Gosovic, B. (2019). *The quest for world environmental cooperation: the case of the UN Global Environment Monitoring System*. Routledge.

Goudie, A. S. (2018). *Human impact on the natural environment: Past, present and future*. John Wiley & Sons.

Grahmann, K., Terra, J. A., Ellerbrock, R., Rubio, V., Barro, R., Caamaño, A., & Quincke, A. (2022). Data accuracy and method validation of chemical soil properties in long-term experiments: Standard operating procedures for a non-certified soil laboratory in Latin America. *Geoderma Regional*, *28*, e00487.

Gruiz, K., Meggyes, T., & Fenyvesi, É. (Eds.). (2017). *Engineering Tools for Environmental Risk Management: 3. Site Assessment and Monitoring Tools*. CRC Press.

Gupta, R., Sharma, A., & Alam, T. (2024). Building predictive models with machine learning. In *Data Analytics and Machine Learning: Navigating the Big Data Landscape* (pp. 39-59). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Himeur, Y., Elnour, M., Fadli, F., Meskin, N., Petri, I., Rezgui, Y., ... & Amira, A. (2023). AI-big data analytics for building automation and management systems: a survey, actual challenges and future perspectives. *Artificial intelligence review*, *56*(6), 4929-5021.

Huang, C. W., Lin, C., Nguyen, M. K., Hussain, A., Bui, X. T., & Ngo, H. H. (2023). A review of biosensor for environmental monitoring: principle, application, and corresponding achievement of sustainable development goals. *Bioengineered*, *14*(1), 58-80.

Hullurappa, M., & Addanki, S. (2025). Building Sustainable Data Ecosystems: A Framework for Long-Term Data Governance in Multi-Cloud Environments. In *Driving Business Success Through Eco-Friendly Strategies* (pp. 73-92). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.

Hung, H., MacLeod, M., Guardans, R., Scheringer, M., Barra, R., Harner, T., & Zhang, G. (2013). Toward the next generation of air quality monitoring: Persistent organic pollutants. *Atmospheric Environment*, *80*, 591-598.

Karahan, A., Demircan, N., Özgeriş, M., Gökçe, O., & Karahan, F. (2025). Integration of Drones in Landscape Research: Technological Approaches and Applications. *Drones (2504-446X)*, *9*(9).

Kaufmann, H., Förster, S., Wulf, H., Segl, K., Guanter, L., Bochow, M., ... & EnMAP Consortium. (2012). Science plan of the environmental mapping and analysis program (EnMAP).

Keith, L. (2017). *Environmental sampling and analysis: a practical guide*. Routledge.

Kim, N. S., & Lim, C. H. (2025). Developing a Novel Method for Vegetation Mapping in Temperate Forests Using Airborne LiDAR and Hyperspectral Imaging. *Forests*, *16*(7), 1158.

Kumar, A., & Singh, A. (2024). Pollution source characterization and evaluation of groundwater quality utilizing an integrated approach of Water Quality Index, GIS and multivariate statistical analysis. *Water Supply*, *24*(10), 3517-3539.

Lema, M. W. (2025). Environmental regulatory frameworks in East Africa: A comparative mini-review. *Environmental Development*, 101314.

Li, J., Pei, Y., Zhao, S., Xiao, R., Sang, X., & Zhang, C. (2020). A review of remote sensing for environmental monitoring in China. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(7), 1130.

Lynch, S. (2018). OpenLitterMap. com–open data on plastic pollution with blockchain rewards (littercoin). *Open Geospatial Data, Software and Standards*, *3*(1), 1-10.

Mandal, B., & Das, B. K. (2025). eDNA Approaches for Ecosystem Health Monitoring: Focus on Pathogens, Vectors, and Microbial Assessment. In *Laboratory Techniques for Fish Disease Diagnosis* (pp. 573-622). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Marfo, A., & Obeng-Gyasi, E. (2024). Empowering communities: The role of wearable devices in environmental justice. *Authorea Preprints*.

Matthews, H., Hanison, J., & Nirmalan, N. (2016). "Omics"-informed drug and biomarker discovery: opportunities, challenges and future perspectives. *Proteomes*, *4*(3), 28.

McGrath, M. J., & Scanaill, C. N. (2014). Regulations and standards: Considerations for sensor technologies. In *Sensor Technologies: Healthcare, Wellness, and Environmental Applications* (pp. 115-135). Berkeley, CA: Apress.

Merra, G., Gualtieri, P., La Placa, G., Frank, G., Della Morte, D., De Lorenzo, A., & Di Renzo, L. (2024). The relationship between exposome and microbiome. *Microorganisms*, *12*(7), 1386.

Movalli, P., Krone, O., Osborn, D., & Pain, D. (2018). Monitoring contaminants, emerging infectious diseases and environmental change with raptors, and links to human health. *Bird Study*, *65*(sup1), S96-S109.

Ncube, M. M., & Ngulube, P. (2024). Enhancing environmental decision-making: a systematic review of data analytics applications in monitoring and management. *Discover Sustainability*, *5*(1), 290.

Ogidi, O. I., Onwuagba, C. G., & Richard-Nwachukwu, N. (2024). Biomonitoring tools, techniques and approaches for environmental assessments. In *Biomonitoring of pollutants in the Global South* (pp. 243-273). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Patel, J. B., & Raval, Z. (2024). The impacts of urbanization on ecological systems: a comprehensive study of the complex challenges arising from rapid urban growth. *Research Review Journal of Indian Knowledge Systems*, *1*(1), 1-10.

Polak, T. B., van Rosmalen, J., & Uyl–de Groot, C. A. (2020). Expanded Access as a source of real-world data: An overview of FDA and EMA approvals. *British journal of clinical pharmacology*, *86*(9), 1819-1826.

Rahaman, T. (2025). Smart Environmental Monitoring Systems for Air and Water Quality Management. *American Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Solutions*, *1*(01), 1-19.

Ramya, J., Yerraguravagari, S. S., Gaikwad, S., & Gupta, R. K. (2024). AI and Machine Learning in Predictive Analytics: Revolutionizing Business Strategies through Big Data Insights. *Library of Progress-Library Science, Information Technology & Computer*, *44*(3).

Roy, S., Malla, S., Dekari, D., & Choudhury, T. G. (2025). One Health Approach: Human, Environment, and Animal Health. In *Management of Fish Diseases* (pp. 281-297). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Sahu, P. K. (2024). Leveraging Wireless Sensor Networks for Environmental Monitoring and Sustainable Development: A Review of Current Trends and Future Directions. *Environment and Sustainable Development Perspectives and*, (2024), 18.

Salam, A. (2019). Internet of things for sustainable mining. In *Internet of Things for Sustainable Community Development: Wireless Communications, Sensing, and Systems* (pp. 243-271). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Saxena, V. (2025). Water quality, air pollution, and climate change: investigating the environmental impacts of industrialization and urbanization. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, *236*(2), 73.

Shalu, S. G. (2023). Environmental monitoring with machine learning. *EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR)*, 208-212.

Sharma, S., Beslity, J. O., Rustad, L., Shelby, L. J., Manos, P. T., Khanal, P., ... & Khanal, C. (2024). Remote Sensing and GIS in Natural Resource Management: Comparing tools and emphasizing the importance of in-situ data. *Remote Sensing*, *16*(22), 4161.

Singh, J., Ahirwal, S. K., Ramteke, K., Kantharajan, G., & Sarma, K. (2025). Remote sensing techniques for monitoring aquatic ecosystems. In *Information Technology in Fisheries and Aquaculture* (pp. 71-107). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Snilstveit, B., Vojtkova, M., Bhavsar, A., & Gaarder, M. (2013). Evidence gap maps-a tool for promoting evidence-informed policy and prioritizing future research. *World bank policy research working paper*, (6725).

Stein, B. A., Staudt, A., Cross, M. S., Dubois, N. S., Enquist, C., Griffis, R., ... & Pairis, A. (2013). Preparing for and managing change: climate adaptation for biodiversity and ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *11*(9), 502-510.

Strielkowski, W., Vlasov, A., Selivanov, K., Muraviev, K., & Shakhnov, V. (2023). Prospects and challenges of the machine learning and data-driven methods for the predictive analysis of power systems: A review. *Energies*, *16*(10), 4025.

Sun, A. Y., & Scanlon, B. R. (2019). How can Big Data and machine learning benefit environment and water management: a survey of methods, applications, and future directions. *Environmental Research Letters*, *14*(7), 073001.

Suter II, G. W. (2001). Applicability of indicator monitoring to ecological risk assessment. *Ecological indicators*, *1*(2), 101-112.

Thompson, K. L., Lantz, T., & Ban, N. C. (2020). A review of Indigenous knowledge and participation in environmental monitoring. *Ecology and society*, *25*(2), 10.

Verburg, P. H., Neumann, K., & Nol, L. (2011). Challenges in using land use and land cover data for global change studies. *Global change biology*, *17*(2), 974-989.

Virapongse, A., Brooks, S., Metcalf, E. C., Zedalis, M., Gosz, J., Kliskey, A., & Alessa, L. (2016). A social-ecological systems approach for environmental management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *178*, 83-91.

Westgard, J. O., & Westgard, S. A. (2016). Quality control review: implementing a scientifically based quality control system. *Annals of clinical biochemistry*, *53*(1), 32-50.

Zaripova, R., Kosulin, V., Shkinderov, M., & Rakhmatullin, I. (2023). Unlocking the potential of artificial intelligence for big data analytics. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 460, p. 04011). EDP Sciences.

Zhang, C. (2024). *Fundamentals of environmental sampling and analysis*. John Wiley & Sons.

Zhao, T., Song, C., Yu, J., Xing, L., Xu, F., Li, W., & Wang, Z. (2025). Leveraging Immersive Digital Twins and AI-Driven Decision Support Systems for Sustainable Water Reserves Management: A Conceptual Framework. *Sustainability*, *17*(8), 3754.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. A.I. Mteiye [MALAWI]