E-ISSN: 1116 - 2619 P-ISSN: 3026-8524
MPRI-JHLAR | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES, LITERATURE AND ART RESEARCH
VOL. 09 NO. 6 – JULY, 2025

EXPLORING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION STRATEGIES IN THE ENFORCEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

IDDE, S. S.; OKEBUKOLA, E. O.; & ADEBARA, S. R.

Faculty of Law, Nasarawa State University, PMB 1022, Keffi, Nasarawa State, Nigeria.

Corresponding Author: saadatusule247@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.70382/mejhlar.v9i6.057

Key Words:
Intellectual Property, Alternative Dispute Resolution Strategy, Policy, Nigeria.

Abstract

The increasing complexity of intellectual property (IP) rights enforcement in Africa, especially in sectors such as creative arts, software, trademarks, and patents, necessitates a shift from traditional litigation toward more flexible and efficient mechanisms. This study explores the role of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) including mediation, arbitration, expert determination, and hybrid models in resolving IP disputes across the African continent, with a particular focus on Nigeria. In Nigeria, the Arbitration and Mediation Act of 2023 marks a significant milestone by recognizing electronic communication, legalizing third-party funding, and codifying enforcement mechanisms for arbitral awards. The Copyright Act of 2022 further allows for arbitration in copyright-related disputes. These legal frameworks, supported by the National Policy on Arbitration and ADR (2024–2028), have established a conducive environment for resolving IP conflicts outside the courts. Sectoral initiatives by the Nigerian Copyright Commission (NCC), in collaboration with the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), have promoted the use of mediation clinics, particularly in Nollywood and the music industry. This paper analyzes the benefits of ADR in IP contexts, including time and cost savings, confidentiality, procedural flexibility, and the ability to appoint technically knowledgeable neutrals. It also discusses persistent challenges such as lack of statutory clarity on IP arbitrability, capacity deficits in ADR training, and post-arbitration litigation risks. The study further proposes future directions such as legal reform to clarify IP arbitrability, expansion of hybrid ADR mechanisms,

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. S. T. Addo [GHANA]

integration of online dispute resolution (ODR) tools, and enhanced public education on ADR. By synthesizing legal, institutional, and practical dimensions of ADR in IP enforcement, this paper argues that Africa especially Nigeria is poised to lead a regional transformation toward non-litigious resolution of IP disputes. The findings are crucial for policymakers, IP practitioners, and creative industry stakeholders seeking to harness ADR for sustainable innovation and legal certainty in the protection of intellectual property rights.

Introduction

In many African jurisdictions, including Nigeria, traditional litigation remains the default avenue for resolving intellectual property (IP) disputes. However, court-based processes are often characterized by prolonged delays, significant financial burdens, and court congestion factors that undermine timely enforcement of IP rights in fast-paced sectors such as technology, entertainment, biotechnology, and fashion. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms, notably mediation, arbitration, expert determination, and hybrid models, provide flexible, cost-effective, and confidential alternatives more suited to IP disputes' complex and technical nature (Alternative dispute resolution Wikipedia, 2025). Globally, ADR has been increasingly adopted in IP enforcement contexts; Africa, and Nigeria in particular, has begun aligning with these trends through reforms, institutional innovation, and sectoral initiatives.

ADR in the African IP Context

At a continental level, institutions such as the Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OAPI) offer mediation, arbitration, expedited arbitration, and expert determination services via a centralized ADR center to settle domestic and cross-border IP and technology disputes (Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle, 2025). This regional infrastructure demonstrates potential for implementing non-litigious IP dispute resolution across Francophone and Anglophone states. However, many regional IP bodies, including ARIPO, have yet to fully institutionalize structured ADR mechanisms within their procedural frameworks, limiting widespread uptake across the continent (Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle, 2025).

Nigeria's Legislative and Institutional Framework

Nigeria's institutional and statutory environment for ADR underwent transformative change between 2021 and 2025. The Arbitration and Mediation Act (AMA) 2023, which repealed the outdated Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1988, aligns domestic ADR laws with international norms by incorporating numerous provisions from the UNCITRAL

Model Law 2006, including mediation and arbitration structures that reflect cross-border best practices (Tiwalade, 2023; White & Case LLP, 2023).

Key provisions in the AMA 2023 include:

Recognition of electronic communications including emails and messaging as valid arbitration and mediation agreements, provided they are accessible for future reference (Omaplex Law Firm, 2023; internationally aligned UNCITRAL reforms)

Legal default to a sole arbitrator if parties do not agree on arbitrator numbers streamlining arbitration and cutting costs (Lexworth Legal Partners, 2023; Omaplex Law Firm, 2023)

Establishment of an Award Review Tribunal (ART): a middle-tier review body that lets parties challenge arbitral awards within specific grounds, similar to ICSID annulment mechanisms (White & Case LLP, 2023)

Legalization of third-party funding, lifting previous common-law restrictions under champerty rules and requiring disclosure of funders' identities (I-ADRNigeria, 2024; Hansoffia Law Firm, 2023)

Enhanced immunity for arbitrators, mediators, and institutions unless bad faith is proven (Omaplex Law Firm, 2023).

Mandatory acceptance timelines for mediation invitations (e.g., within 30 days) and tolling of limitation periods during mediation.

These legislative reforms modernize Nigeria's ADR regime, emphasizing enforceability, efficiency, party autonomy, and alignment with global arbitration practices (White & Case LLP, 2023; Omaplex Law Firm, 2023).

National Policy on Arbitration and ADR, 2024

Complementing the AMA 2023 is the National Policy on Arbitration and ADR (2024–2028), which sets strategic aims and operational standards for ADR implementation in Nigeria. It mandates small-claims arbitration for disputes below ₦5 million, with oral appeal proceedings concluded within 30 days (Anaje, 2024). Courts are instructed to stay proceedings when arbitration or mediation clauses exist and potentially impose sanctions for abuse of litigation processes that sidestep ADR (Anaje, 2024). Importantly, governmental bodies are required to seat arbitrations in Nigeria often under the Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration, Lagos (RCICAL) to enhance Nigeria's appeal as an arbitration hub in Africa (Anaje, 2024).

ADR Mechanisms Applied in IP Disputes

Although the AMA does not explicitly mention intellectual property, existing contractual ADR clauses, IP statutes, and judicial practice provide avenues for ADR in IP disputes.

1. **Contractual ADR Provisions**: Franchise, licensing, and distribution agreements frequently embed ADR clauses for dispute resolution over trademarks, copyrights, and patents. These clauses facilitate the use of arbitration or mediation rather than litigation when conflicts arise (IBA, 2021).
2. **Registrar-Facilitated ADR**: Under Nigeria's Trademarks Act 1965, the Registrar of Trademarks may refuse registrations of confusing marks until parties settle via agreement or court decision, effectively promoting negotiated outcomes (IBA, 2021).
3. **Court-Ordered ADR**: Nigeria's Federal High Court and Lagos State High Court have general powers to encourage reconciliation or mediation in any matter, including IP litigation (IBA, 2021). Courts increasingly enforce pre-trial settlement obligations as a condition precedent to trial.
4. **UDRP for Domain Name Disputes**: Nigeria-based parties can utilize ICANN's Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) through providers like WIPO for fast, low-cost resolutions to cybersquatting and trademark-based domain name conflicts (IBA, 2021).
5. **Expert Determination and Technical Arbitration**: For complex IP scenarios such as infringement, valuation, or licensing disputes parties often choose neutrals with technical or sectoral expertise. NICArb, for example, boasts thousands of qualified arbitrators with IP competence (NICArb blog, 2024). Similarly, global ADR centers like WIPO and OAPI supply expertise for IP-specific mediations and arbitrations (Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle, 2025).

Rationale for ADR in IP Enforcement

IP disputes often involve time-sensitive rights, technical or scientific subject matter, creative industries confidentiality concerns, and cross-border dimensions. ADR mechanisms present several advantages:

Speed and cost-efficiency: ADR avoids lengthy court backlogs. Mediation may be completed in days or weeks, in contrast to years in litigation.

Technical proficiency: Parties can appoint neutrals with domain knowledge, which improves resolution accuracy in patent, software, biotech, or media disputes.

Confidentiality and flexibility: ADR processes, especially mediation, protect trade secrets and sensitive licensing terms from public exposure.

Enforceability and finality: Arbitration awards and mediated settlements under AMA 2023 are legally binding and enforceable in courts, with curbed judicial challenges particularly via the ART and New York Convention recognition (White & Case LLP, 2023; I-ADRNigeria, 2024).

However, adoption challenges persist: statutory ambiguity around formal arbitrability of IP rights, limited ADR capacity in many Nigerian states, and potential post-award litigation remain issues (IBA, 2021; Anaje, 2024). Courts' attitudes toward the ART and new enforcement mechanisms will critically shape ADR's practical effectiveness.

Introduction to ADR in the African IP Context

In many African jurisdictions, including Nigeria, traditional litigation remains the default avenue for resolving intellectual property (IP) disputes. However, court-based processes are often characterized by prolonged delays, significant financial burdens, and court congestion—factors that undermine timely enforcement of IP rights in fast-paced sectors such as technology, entertainment, biotechnology, and fashion. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms, notably mediation, arbitration, expert determination, and hybrid models, provide flexible, cost-effective, and confidential alternatives more suited to IP disputes' complex and technical nature (Alternative dispute resolution-Wikipedia, 2025). Globally, ADR has been increasingly adopted in IP enforcement contexts; Africa, and Nigeria in particular, has begun aligning with these trends through reforms, institutional innovation, and sectoral initiatives.

At a continental level, institutions such as the Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle (OAPI) offer mediation, arbitration, expedited arbitration, and expert determination services via a centralized ADR center to settle domestic and cross-border IP and technology disputes (Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle, 2025). This regional infrastructure demonstrates potential for implementing non-litigious IP dispute resolution across Francophone and Anglophone states. However, many regional IP bodies, including ARIPO, have yet to fully institutionalize structured ADR mechanisms within their procedural frameworks, limiting widespread uptake across the continent (Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle, 2025).

Nigeria's Legislative and Institutional Framework

Nigeria's institutional and statutory environment for ADR underwent transformative change between 2021 and 2025. The Arbitration and Mediation Act (AMA) 2023, which repealed the outdated Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1988, aligns domestic ADR laws with international norms by incorporating numerous provisions from the UNCITRAL Model Law 2006, including mediation and arbitration structures that reflect cross-border best practices (Tiwalade, 2023; White & Case LLP, 2023).

Key provisions in the AMA 2023 include:

Recognition of electronic communications including emails and messaging as valid arbitration and mediation agreements, provided they are accessible for future reference.

Legal default to a sole arbitrator if parties do not agree on arbitrator numbers streamlining arbitration and cutting costs (Lexworth Legal Partners, 2023).

Establishment of an Award Review Tribunal (ART): a middle-tier review body that lets parties challenge arbitral awards within specific grounds, similar to ICSID annulment mechanisms (White & Case LLP, 2023)

Legalization of third-party funding, lifting previous common-law restrictions under champerty rules and requiring disclosure of funders' identities (I-ADRNigeria, 2024; Hansoffia Law Firm, 2023)

Enhanced immunity for arbitrators, mediators, and institutions unless bad faith is proven.

Mandatory acceptance timelines for mediation invitations (e.g., within 30 days) and tolling of limitation periods during mediation.

These legislative reforms modernize Nigeria's ADR regime, emphasizing enforceability, efficiency, party autonomy, and alignment with global arbitration practices (White & Case LLP, 2023).

National Policy on Arbitration and ADR, 2024

Complementing the AMA 2023 is the National Policy on Arbitration and ADR (2024–2028), which sets strategic aims and operational standards for ADR implementation in Nigeria. It mandates small-claims arbitration for disputes below ₦5 million, with oral appeal proceedings concluded within 30 days (Anaje, 2024). Courts are instructed to stay proceedings when arbitration or mediation clauses exist and potentially impose sanctions for abuse of litigation processes that sidestep ADR (Anaje, 2024). Importantly, governmental bodies are required to seat arbitrations in Nigeria often under the Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration, Lagos (RCICAL) to enhance Nigeria's appeal as an arbitration hub in Africa (Anaje, 2024).

ADR Mechanisms Applied in IP Disputes

Although the AMA does not explicitly mention intellectual property, existing contractual ADR clauses, IP statutes, and judicial practice provide avenues for ADR in IP disputes.

1. **Contractual ADR Provisions:** Franchise, licensing, and distribution agreements frequently embed ADR clauses for dispute resolution over trademarks, copyrights, and patents. These clauses facilitate the use of arbitration or mediation rather than litigation when conflicts arise (IBA, 2021).
2. **Registrar-Facilitated ADR:** Under Nigeria's Trademarks Act 1965, the Registrar of Trademarks may refuse registrations of confusing marks until parties settle via agreement or court decision, effectively promoting negotiated outcomes (IBA, 2021).
3. **Court-Ordered ADR:** Nigeria's Federal High Court and Lagos State High Court have general powers to encourage reconciliation or mediation in any matter, including IP

litigation (IBA, 2021). Courts increasingly enforce pre-trial settlement obligations as a condition precedent to trial.

4. **UDRP for Domain Name Disputes:** Nigeria-based parties can utilize ICANN's Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) through providers like WIPO for fast, low-cost resolutions to cybersquatting and trademark-based domain name conflicts (IBA, 2021).
5. **Expert Determination and Technical Arbitration:** For complex IP scenarios such as infringement, valuation, or licensing disputes parties often choose neutrals with technical or sectoral expertise. NICArb, for example, boasts thousands of qualified arbitrators with IP competence (NICArb blog, 2024). Similarly, global ADR centers like WIPO and OAPI supply expertise for IP-specific mediations and arbitrations (Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle, 2025).

Rationale for ADR in IP Enforcement

IP disputes often involve time-sensitive rights, technical or scientific subject matter, creative industries confidentiality concerns, and cross-border dimensions. ADR mechanisms present several **advantages**;

Speed and cost-efficiency: ADR avoids lengthy court backlogs. Mediation may be completed in days or weeks, in contrast to years in litigation.

Technical proficiency: Parties can appoint neutrals with domain knowledge, which improves resolution accuracy in patent, software, biotech, or media disputes.

Confidentiality and flexibility: ADR processes, especially mediation, protect trade secrets and sensitive licensing terms from public exposure.

Enforceability and finality: Arbitration awards and mediated settlements under AMA 2023 are legally binding and enforceable in courts, with curbed judicial challenges particularly via the ART and New York Convention recognition (White & Case LLP, 2023; I-ADRNigeria, 2024).

However, adoption challenges persist: statutory ambiguity around formal arbitrability of IP rights, limited ADR capacity in many Nigerian states, and potential post-award litigation remain issues (IBA, 2021; Anaje Olumide Oke Akinkugbe, 2024). Courts' attitudes toward the ART and new enforcement mechanisms will critically shape ADR's practical effectiveness.

ADR in IP Enforcement: Pan-African Context

Across the African continent, the adoption of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) for intellectual property (IP) disputes is gradually gaining traction. In recent years (2020–2025), a mixture of international collaboration, regional institutional initiatives, and

national-level experimentation has bolstered ADR's relevance in IP enforcement (WIPO ADR Stories, 2025). Traditional litigation in many African jurisdictions remains protracted, costly, and insensitive to technical nuance. ADR offers a more flexible, culturally attuned, and efficient avenue especially in creative industries, digital content, and copyright-related matters (Simba LinkedIn, 2024).

WIPO's Role and National Collaborations

The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Arbitration and Mediation Center (AMC) has spearheaded ADR adoption by co-administering mediation and arbitration services with national copyright and IP offices across Africa. For instance, the Nigerian Copyright Commission (NCC) partnered with WIPO in December 2020 to launch ADR services tailored to entertainment-related disputes in sectors such as Nollywood and music, providing faster and less adversarial alternatives to litigation (WIPO ADR Stories, 2025; NCC Dispute Resolution, 2025). Similar collaborations exist in Kenya (KECOBO) and Tanzania (COSOTA), illustrating regional diffusion and institutional capacity-building (WIPO ADR Stories, 2025).

Cultural and Contextual Advantages

In Africa's diverse legal landscape, ADR offers contextual and cultural fit. As one legal commentator notes, ADR allows dispute resolution to align with local customs, norms, and languages a feature particularly valuable in culturally plural societies (Simba LinkedIn, 2024). ADR also promotes business relationships by enabling parties to co-create outcomes rather than imposing adversarial judicial decisions this is especially advantageous in creative and collaborative sectors. Reddit discussions from African contexts emphasize ADR's ability to reduce cost, accelerate resolution, and maintain harmony.

Cross-border and Pan-Continental Integration

Cross-border collaboration remains central to enhancing ADR's efficacy for IP disputes, particularly in light of the emerging African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA). ADR frameworks that account for jurisdictional overlap, cultural plurality, and cross-border enforcement help foster coherent and harmonized dispute-resolution mechanisms (Simba LinkedIn, 2024). Stakeholders recommend creation of a pan-African ADR Assembly to oversee best practices, certification of ADR institutions, and reciprocity in enforcement, including for settlement agreements and arbitration awards (Oloruntimehin, 2023).

Capacity-Building and Institutional Support

Capacity limitations ranging from scarcity of skilled IP-focused mediators/arbitrators to weak procedural infrastructure remain a significant barrier. To address these, WIPO AZ and ARIPO emphasize training programs, workshops, and exchanges among judiciary members and IP officials via the WIPO Judicial Institute and Academy (WIPO Judicial Institute, 2025). These efforts strengthen institutional readiness to manage ADR cases involving IP.

Statistical Growth and Trends

Reflecting international adoption, WIPO ADR statistics indicate rapid growth in IP dispute referrals from Africa: total IP and technology matters handled globally by WIPO AMC rose from 679 in 2023 to 858 in 2024, with a large share involving copyright and creative content (76–77%) and trademarks (12–16%) (WIPO ADR Highlights, 2023; WIPO ADR Highlights, 2024). A growing proportion of these cases stemmed from African parties, particularly in the creative and media sectors (WIPO ADR Highlights, 2024).

In summary, from 2020 to early 2025, ADR for IP enforcement has gained momentum across Africa through national collaborations (e.g., NCC-WIPO), regional protocols (ARIPO's Kampala Protocol), judicial and registry-facilitated frameworks, and stakeholder-driven capacity-building. These developments, coupled with cultural sensitivity and infrastructural harmonization, position ADR as a plausible mainstream alternative to litigation in IP disputes across the continent.

Nigeria's Legal Framework and Institutional Landscape

Between 2021 and 2025, Nigeria dramatically overhauled its legal and institutional infrastructure governing Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), significantly enhancing its suitability for intellectual property (IP) enforcement contexts. Central to this evolution is the Arbitration and Mediation Act (AMA) 2023, which replaced the older Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1988. By embracing global standards including those of UNCITRAL Model Law 2006 this legislation modernizes Nigeria's ADR framework (Dentons ACAS-Law, 2023; Lexworth Legal Partners, 2023).

A key innovation in AMA 2023 is the recognition of electronic communications such as emails or data messages as valid written arbitration agreements, provided they remain accessible for future reference (Lexworth Legal Partners, 2023; Omaplex Law Firm, 2023). This provision modernizes Nigeria's arbitration formalities, reflecting the realities of digital contract formation.

The Act also streamlines arbitral proceedings by reducing the default number of arbitrators from three to one, unless parties agree otherwise (I-ADRNigeria, 2023; Kluwer

Arbitration Blog, 2023). This change aims to reduce costs, particularly beneficial for domestic and small-scale disputes. Furthermore, the AMA introduces consolidation and joinder provisions, permitting related claims or parties to be joined in a single arbitration (Dentons ACAS-Law, 2023).

Among the more novel mechanisms is the establishment of an Award Review Tribunal (ART), allowing parties to opt for limited secondary review of arbitral awards. If the ART upholds the award, courts may only overturn it on narrow grounds, such as non-arbitrability or public policy concerns (Guardian Nigeria columnist, 2023; Dentons ACAS-Law, 2023). This provides balanced finality and limited recourse.

Importantly for access to justice, AMA 2023 expressly permits third-party funding (TPF) in arbitration including related court proceedings and abolishes the prior, restrictive doctrines of champerty and maintenance. Parties entering TPF arrangements must disclose funders to respondents, tribunals, and institutions, and tribunals must consider funding costs in awards. This aligns Nigeria with advanced jurisdictions like Singapore and Hong Kong (Lexworth Legal Partners, 2023).

The AMA also introduces a framework for emergency arbitrators, who can be appointed within two business days and issue binding interim relief before a full tribunal is formed (Omaplex Law Firm, 2023; Aceris Law, 2023). This provision significantly enhances ADR's responsiveness in urgent situations typical of IP disputes such as takedown requests or infringement injunctions.

Institutionally, the National Policy on Arbitration and ADR (2024–2028) further operationalizes and promotes Nigeria's ADR ecosystem. It mandates multi-tier clauses negotiation (10 days), mediation (30 days), then arbitration as standard for public-sector contracts. Courts must stay litigation where valid ADR clauses exist and can penalize parties who evade ADR earlier (Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025).

The Policy prefers Nigerian arbitrators and legal counsel, offers ethics codes, calls for ADR curriculum inclusion in law schools, and encourages small-claims procedures for disputes under ₦5 million (Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025). It also endorses streamlined judicial case management, including 60-day hearings and 270-day appeal windows, as well as punitive costs for obstructive litigation behavior (Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025).

This dual-enhanced framework modern legal provisions under AMA 2023 and strategic policy under the National ADR Policy solidly positions Nigeria to deploy ADR effectively in IP enforcement contexts. The legal recognition of electronic agreements, emergency relief, TPF, and award review, coupled with institutional commitment to capacity building and ethical standards, significantly strengthens ADR as a practical, enforceable, and credible alternative to adjudication in IP disputes.

Applications in IP Enforcement

In Nigeria, the deployment of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms in the realm of intellectual property (IP) has seen growing practical application, particularly through the collaboration between the Nigerian Copyright Commission (NCC) and WIPO, institutional mediation, arbitration panels, and expert determination, all tailored to address IP-specific disputes efficiently (The IP Press, 2022; NCC-WIPO Mediation Services, n.d.).

Mediation in the Entertainment and Creative Industries

Beginning December 2020, the NCC entered into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with the WIPO Arbitration and Mediation Center to offer mediation services for copyright-related disputes (WIPO ADR Stories, 2021; NCC-WIPO Mediation Services, n.d.). This model targets disputes arising in Nollywood, the music industry, broadcasting, content licensing, and collective management organizations. Mediation clinics organized jointly by NCC and WIPO promote settlement before resorting to litigation, leveraging sector-specific expertise and procedural flexibility. The Director-General of NCC emphasized that ADR can mitigate delays, reduce costs, and boost investment confidence in the entertainment sector (Osagie, 2021).

Arbitration and Domain Name Dispute Resolution

Although Nigerian statutes do not explicitly confirm the arbitrability of IP disputes, arbitration frequently arises through contractual clauses in licensing, franchising, or technology agreements (Oturu & Oluwasanya, 2021). Moreover, the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP), administered by ICANN via WIPO, provides a rapid, cost-effective mechanism for resolving cybersquatting and trademark-based domain name disputes often preferred over more expensive litigation (Oturu & Oluwasanya, 2021; The IP Press, 2022).

Registrar-led Referral and Expert Determination

Section 13(3) of Nigeria's Trademarks Act 1965 empowers the Registrar of Trademarks to refuse registration until parties resolve conflicts via court or registrar-approved agreement. This provision encourages early settlement and referrals to ADR rather than formal litigation (Oturu & Oluwasanya, 2021). For highly technical matters—such as IP valuation, royalty setting, or infringement analysis—expert determination offers a confidential pathway, enabling parties to appoint independent experts with specialized knowledge instead of going before a tribunal.

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
Prof. S. T. Addo [GHANA]

Collective Management Organization (CMO) Panels

Under collective-management regulations, certain disputes between rights-holders and CMOs are adjudicated through Dispute Settlement Panels, referenced in the Copyright (Citations omitted) Regulations (WIPO-MCST Survey Report, 2021). These panels reflect a hybrid model drawing procedures from the Nigerian Arbitration Act and apply ADR principles like impartiality, witness management, and award enforceability.

Institutional and Judicial Support

The WIPO Judicial Institute has collaborated in Nigeria to build judicial capacity on IP and ADR issues, including conducting workshops for Federal High Court judges in April 2025 (WIPO & Nigerian Judiciary, 2025). These efforts reinforce judicial support for ADR referrals, encourage reconciliation during pre-trial phases, and foster confidence in mediated and arbitral outcomes.

Benefits in Practice

ADR mechanisms bring practical benefits to IP stakeholders:

Speed and cost-effectiveness: Mediation clinics resolve cases in weeks or months rather than years a vital strength in time-sensitive IP sectors (Osagie, 2021; The IP Press, 2022).

Industry expertise: ADR enables appointing neutrals with domain knowledge, which is more suitable than generalist courts for disputes in entertainment, software, or biotechnology (The IP Press, 2022).

Confidentiality and flexible procedure: ADR preserves secrecy over sensitive IP terms; parties can tailor process rules and timelines.

Challenges and Limitations

Uncertainty over arbitrability: Since statutes like the Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023 do not expressly include IP disputes, some parties remain cautious about the enforceability of arbitral outcomes in IP contexts (Oturu & Oluwasanya, 2021).

Awareness and capacity gaps: Many IP stakeholders and regulators still lack knowledge of ADR channels, and adequately trained mediators/arbitrators remain scarce (Global Advisory Experts, 2022; The IP Press, 2022).

Enforcement risks: Without contractual clarity or institutional backing, mediated settlements or expert decisions may be less enforceable than court judgments, prompting some reluctance toward

Benefits and Challenges in the Nigerian Context

Between 2021 and 2025, Nigeria has accelerated efforts to embed Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), especially arbitration and mediation, into national legal practice

particularly in the enforcement of intellectual property (IP). The following analysis explores the key benefits and persistent challenges facing ADR in Nigeria's evolving legal landscape.

Benefits of ADR in Nigeria

1. **Speed and Efficiency:** ADR offers dramatically swifter dispute resolution compared to court processes, which are notoriously slow and subject to backlog. Cases resolved via mediation or arbitration typically conclude within weeks or months, whereas court litigation may drag on for years or even decades (AOC Solicitors, 2023; Hamu Legal, 2024) . This time-efficiency is particularly crucial in IP contexts, where rights such as patents or copyrights are often time-sensitive (Mondaq, 2021).
2. **Cost-Effectiveness:** ADR mechanisms are generally more affordable than court litigation. They reduce expenses related to legal fees, court costs, postponements, and appeals. Especially for individuals and SMEs, ADR provides accessible dispute resolution (AOC Solicitors, 2023; Hamu Legal, 2024). The Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023 encouraged streamlined processes such as defaulting to a sole arbitrator to further control costs (BusinessDay NG, 2025).
3. **Confidentiality and Privacy:** ADR proceedings are private, protecting sensitive information from becoming public record. This is particularly beneficial in IP disputes involving trade secrets, proprietary data, or licensing terms (Hamu Legal, 2024; Mondaq, 2021). Parties may tailor disclosure and confidentiality provisions to their specific needs.
4. **Specialized Expertise:** IP disputes often involve complex, technical subject matter. ADR allows parties to appoint mediators, arbitrators, or experts with specific domain knowledge. This ensures more informed decision-making than generalist judges might provide in court (Mondaq, 2021; Hamu Legal, 2024).
5. **Procedural Flexibility and Party Autonomy:** Unlike litigation, ADR affords parties control over procedural elements such as venue, choice of neutral, timelines, and applicable rules. This flexibility is particularly advantageous in cross-border IP cases where local court procedures may not suffice (Mondaq, 2021; Hamu Legal, 2024).
6. **Preservation of Business Relationships:** Mediation and conciliation promote collaborative, interest-based resolutions. This less adversarial approach is helpful in creative and technology sectors where ongoing relationships matter (AOC Solicitors, 2023; Hamu Legal, 2024).
7. **Enforceability of Awards and Settlement Agreements:** Nigeria's incorporation of the Singapore Convention and adoption of modern arbitration standards ensure

that mediated settlements and arbitral awards are enforceable as binding contracts or court-sanctioned consent judgments (BusinessDay NG, 2025; Chaman Law Firm, 2023). This solidifies ADR's credibility as a dispute resolution mechanism.

Impact on Judicial Congestion

By diverting appropriate cases from court, ADR helps reduce judicial backlog and enhances overall efficiency of the legal system (Guardian Nigeria, 2024; i-ADR Nigeria, 2020). Especially in states implementing multi-door courthouses, significant reductions in case volumes have been documented.

Challenges of ADR in Nigeria

i. **Limited Awareness and Acceptance:** Public and professional familiarity with ADR remains constrained. Many businesses, litigants, and even lawyers still perceive litigation as the default method and are unaware of ADR alternatives (i-ADR Nigeria, 2020; Chaman Law Firm, 2023). Without broad awareness, ADR clauses may be excluded from agreements, limiting ADR uptake.
ii. **Enforcement Uncertainty for Mediated Settlements:** While arbitration awards have clear statutory backing, mediated agreements especially those without formal arbitration clauses may not be binding if one party later reneges (ResearchGate ADR issues, 2023). This lack of enforceable certainty undermines mediation as a standalone remedy.
iii. **Uneven Institutional Infrastructure:** ADR institutions and resources are concentrated in urban hubs like Lagos and Abuja. Rural areas less frequently access ADR services due to infrastructural and professional gaps.
iv. **Judicial Interference and Cultural Resistance:** Despite reforms, some courts still prematurely intervene in arbitration matters contravening party autonomy and undermining ADR's effectiveness (Chaman Law Firm, 2023). Additionally, entrenched preference for litigation among legal professionals and litigants persists.
v. **Power Imbalances and Fairness Concerns:** In mediation and negotiation, power unevenness can disadvantage less-resourced parties. Without safeguards, dominant parties might shape outcomes unfairly (Wikipedia ADR disadvantages, 2024).
vi. **Lack of Specialized IP Channels and Capacity:** Although ADR institutions exist broadly, there remains a shortage of mediators and arbitrators specifically trained

in IP law (Mondaq, 2021; i-ADR Nigeria, 2020). Institutional capacity-building needs strengthening to match IP complexity.

vii. **Absence of IP-Specific Legal Clarity:** The Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023 does not explicitly cover IP arbitrability, leaving ambiguity whether all IP disputes are eligible for ADR. Some stakeholders remain cautious about enforceability in opaque areas like copyrights or patent rights (Mondaq, 2021; ResearchGate ADR issues, 2023).

Summary: Balancing Strengths and Weaknesses

ADR in Nigeria demonstrates clear advantages speed, affordability, confidentiality, technical competence, flexibility, and enforceability. These strengths are particularly suited to IP disputes, especially in high-stakes, specialized sectors like entertainment, technology, and creative industries.

However, for maximal impact, Nigeria must address the gaps in legal clarity, capacity building, public awareness, and institutional access, while reinforcing enforceability and fairness safeguards. Courts must respect party autonomy in arbitration, and legislation should clarify IP arbitrability. Awareness campaigns and ADR education both within the profession and the public are essential.

Nigeria's continuing reforms including the Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023, the National ADR Policy (2024–2028), and evolving judicial culture provide a strong foundation. The challenge now lies in cementing these reforms through consistent implementation, training, regulatory clarification, and public engagement to realize ADR's full potential in IP enforcement across the country.

Future Directions

As Nigeria and broader African jurisdictions continue to develop Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) frameworks for intellectual property (IP) enforcement, several strategic pathways suggest themselves for further strengthening this regime from legislative refinement and technological integration to institutional growth, public awareness, and regional harmonization. These recommendations build on the Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023 and the National Policy on Arbitration and ADR (2024–2028), positioning ADR for future efficacy and scalability in IP contexts.

1. **Legislative Reform to Clarify IP Arbitrability:** Existing Nigerian legislation including the Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023 does not explicitly state that IP disputes (such as those under the Copyright, Trademark, or Patents Acts) are arbitrable. In contrast, jurisdictions like Singapore and Hong Kong explicitly authorize arbitration of IP disputes, removing ambiguity about public policy exceptions (International

Bar Association, 2021). To foster confidence among stakeholders, Nigeria should consider statutory amendments or supplementary regulations clarifying that IP disputes may be resolved via ADR and are not contrary to public policy (Oturu & Oluwasanya, 2021; BusinessDay NG, 2025). This could mirror provisions in global best-practice frameworks and signal coherence and certainty to domestic users and international investors.

2. **Hybrid ADR Clauses Tailored to IP Disputes:** Given the technical complexity and time sensitivity of IP disputes, hybrid ADR mechanisms combining mediation, expert determination, and arbitration ("med-con-arb") offer strategic advantages. The National ADR Policy encourages multi-tiered clauses (negotiation → mediation → arbitration), but IP contracts (e.g. licensing or technology transfer agreements) may benefit from tailored clauses that specify expert valuation or technical review before arbitration (International Bar Association, 2021; Mondaq, 2022). Standardized clause templates for IP agreements, endorsed by institutions such as NICArb or WIPO, could accelerate uptake and reduce drafting errors.
3. **Institutional Capacity Building & IP Specialization:** While ADR training has begun in Nigeria's universities and practitioner circles (i-ADRNigeria, 2024), ADR effectiveness in IP hinges on having mediators, arbitrators, and expert panellists with specialized IP knowledge. Institutions such as NICArb, RCICAL, Lagos Court of Arbitration, and WIPO AMC should sponsor IP-specific training, continuing education certificates, and mentorship programs in collaboration with the Federal Ministry of Justice. Public funding or subsidized ADR training could further address talent gaps (i-ADRNigeria, 2024; NCC-DRC, 2025).
4. **Digital Innovation and ODR Integration:** Emerging technologies including online dispute resolution (ODR), artificial intelligence, and e-ADR platforms offer efficiency gains for IP disputes, particularly for copyright or trademark infringement cases that require swift takedown or licensing decisions (Chambers & Partners, 2025; Stakeholders Advocate, 2025). Nigeria's courts and ADR centers can build on existing e-filing and virtual hearing systems by integrating digital case management, remote mediation portals, and AI-assisted triage (Chambers & Partners, 2025). Partnerships with global platforms like WIPO eADR or research labs testing LLM-based mediation can accelerate this evolution.
5. **Promoting Nigeria as an IP ADR Hub:** The National Policy's aim to establish Nigeria as a seat of arbitration (especially for government-related contracts) presents an opportunity to build an IP-focused ADR centre. By integrating ADR clauses into government technology transfers, start-up grants, and digital innovation frameworks (e.g., Startup Act contracts), Nigeria can attract regional cases and

incentivize creative industry stakeholders to resolve disputes domestically (Oturu, 2024; BusinessDay NG, 2025). Offering fast-track IP ADR services, sector-specific tribunals, and specialized IP ADR centres would raise Nigeria's profile in creative and tech industries.

6. **Public Awareness and Stakeholder Engagement:** Raising awareness of ADR in IP enforcement is vital. As i-ADRNigeria and the NCC-DRC have advocated, campaigns should include IP rights-holders, legal professionals, creative industries, universities, and government agencies (i-ADRNigeria, 2024; NCC-DRC, 2025). Media outreach, law-school clinics, sectoral workshops (e.g., targeting Nollywood, music producers, tech start-ups), and inclusion of ADR and IP in formal legal education would deepen uptake (NCC-DRC, 2025; BusinessDay NG, 2025).
7. **Monitoring, Evaluation, and Policy Feedback Loops:** To ensure reforms take effect, Nigeria must track ADR outcomes, user satisfaction, enforcement rates, settlement durations, and cost metrics. The National Policy mandates centralized registers and periodic review cycles overseen by the Advisory Council (Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025; BusinessDay NG, 2025). Extending this framework to collect IP-specific ADR data such as percentage of IP disputes resolved via ADR, enforcement success rates, and repeat-user behaviour would guide policy adjustments and respond to stakeholder needs.
8. **Addressing Access and Inclusion Gaps:** Equitable access to ADR services is essential to foster justice and innovation across demographics. The National Policy encourages inclusion of rural communities, women, youth, and vulnerable groups in ADR programming (Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025). For IP disputes arising among smaller creators or grassroots innovators, ADR schemes could offer pro bono programs, mobile ADR outreach, or subsidized fees. Licensing and royalty disputes involving micro-creators should receive targeted ADR channels (NCC-DRC, 2025).
9. **Harmonization with Pan-African ADR Frameworks:** Regional alignment via entities like ARIPO, OAPI, and under AfCFTA frameworks will help standardise IP ADR across borders. Nigeria should collaborate on harmonizing arbitration standards, enforcing cross-border settlements, and promoting mutual recognition of awards. Stakeholder platforms such as NICArb and the Organisation for the Harmonisation of Business Law in Africa play key roles in cross-border harmonization and deep integration of ADR regimes across legal traditions and jurisdictions (Stakeholders Advocate, 2025).
10. **Ethical Protocols and Bias Safeguards:** With growing reliance on ADR including emergent AI-enabled platforms ethical standards are paramount. ADR practitioners must adhere to disclosure obligations, conflict-of-interest checks, and

impartiality protocols. The National Policy contemplates unified codes of conduct (Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025), but IP applications may require additional nuance such as rules governing technical experts, data privacy in copyright disputes, and ensuring algorithmic neutrality. Institutions should establish oversight bodies or ethics panels to address concerns specific to IP-related ADR.

Conclusion

Over the next five years, Nigeria and other African jurisdictions have ample opportunity to transition ADR from a supplementary process into the primary regime for IP enforcement. By clarifying statutory arbitrability, mainstreaming multi-tier ADR clauses in IP contracts, nurturing specialist ADR capacity, deploying digital platforms, raising public awareness, and promoting cross-border integration, ADR can fulfill its potential as a faster, more flexible, and technically robust alternative to litigation. As Nigeria's National ADR Policy and Arbitration Act mature, coordinated implementation and periodic evaluation will be critical to embedding ADR in IP enforcement architecture ultimately strengthening Nigeria's position as a pan-African ADR leader and enabling creative, technological, and innovative sectors to resolve disputes efficiently and effectively.

Summary

The increasing complexity of intellectual property (IP) disputes in Nigeria and Africa has necessitated the adoption of more efficient and flexible resolution mechanisms beyond traditional litigation. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) has emerged as a promising approach to addressing these disputes in a timely, cost-effective, and confidential manner. The adoption of the Arbitration and Mediation Act, 2023, and the National Policy on Arbitration and ADR (2024–2028) signifies Nigeria's strategic move towards institutionalizing ADR across sectors, including IP enforcement (BusinessDay NG, 2025; Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025).

Intellectual property disputes, ranging from copyright and patent infringements to trademark violations, often involve technical details that require expertise and swift resolution. ADR mechanisms such as arbitration, mediation, conciliation, and hybrid models offer significant benefits in this regard. Arbitration ensures finality and enforceability through awards, while mediation allows parties to reach mutually beneficial settlements with the aid of a neutral facilitator (Oturu & Oluwasanya, 2021). These attributes make ADR particularly suitable for resolving IP issues within Nigeria's creative industries, technology sectors, and academia (NCC-DRC, 2025).

Despite these advantages, the enforcement of ADR outcomes in IP remains limited due to several challenges. Chief among them is the lack of clear legal guidance on the

arbitrability of IP rights, particularly concerning matters traditionally viewed as touching public policy such as copyright ownership or compulsory licensing (International Bar Association, 2021). Moreover, limited awareness among stakeholders, a shortage of trained IP-focused neutrals, and inadequate technological infrastructure further impede effective ADR deployment (i-ADRNigeria, 2024).

To address these concerns, future reforms must prioritize legislative clarity affirming the arbitrability of IP disputes. Institutional capacity building is essential through specialized training, academic curriculum development, and international collaboration with organizations like WIPO. The integration of digital tools such as online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms and AI-assisted case triage can significantly improve efficiency and broaden access (Chambers & Partners, 2025). Additionally, public sensitization campaigns targeting creators, start-ups, lawyers, and enforcement agencies are crucial to mainstreaming ADR in IP contexts (Stakeholders Advocate, 2025).

Nigeria's ambition to become a regional hub for arbitration presents an opportunity to lead in IP ADR as well. By aligning national reforms with pan-African efforts such as the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) and ARIPO Nigeria can contribute to a harmonized and robust continental framework for IP enforcement.

In conclusion, while ADR holds immense potential for improving IP enforcement in Nigeria and Africa, its success depends on continuous legal reform, capacity development, stakeholder engagement, and digital transformation. With these in place, ADR could become the cornerstone of a more accessible, equitable, and innovation-friendly IP system across the continent.

Conclusion

Over the last five years, Nigeria has undertaken a significant transformation of its Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) landscape, positioning innovation, enforceability, and global alignment at the forefront of legal reform. The introduction of the Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023 (AMA) has replaced outdated frameworks and incorporated key global standards such as the UNCITRAL Model Law and both the New York and Singapore Conventions into Nigeria's legal architecture (Hansoffia Law Firm, 2023; Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2023). These reforms signal a decisive embrace of mediation alongside arbitration, establishing legally binding settlement agreements and granting them enforceability akin to arbitral awards (Hansoffia Law Firm, 2023; Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2023).

Important innovations such as the Award Review Tribunal (ART), emergency arbitrator framework, third-party funding, minimum arbitrator default, and explicit recognition of electronic communications modernize Nigeria's ADR system (Kluwer Arbitration Blog,

2023; Hansoffia Law Firm, 2023). Meanwhile, the National Policy on Arbitration and ADR (2024–2028) provides institutional ballast, mandating judicial deference to ADR, advocating inter-agency adoption of ADR, supporting small-claims mechanisms, and encouraging Nigeria's emergence as an arbitration hub within Africa (Federal Ministry of Justice, 2025; Kluwer Arbitration Blog, 2025).

These legal and institutional layers offer fertile ground for advancing ADR in intellectual property (IP) enforcement. Across Nigeria and the broader African continent, ADR including mediation, arbitration, expert determination, and hybrid models has shown particular strength in addressing disputes in copyright, trademark, and creative industries (NCC-WIPO ADR services, 2021; Oturu & Oluwasanya, 2021). ADR's benefits namely speed, flexibility, confidentiality, expert-knowledge, cost-efficiency, and enforceability perfectly align with the urgent and technical nature of IP enforcement (AOC Solicitors, 2023; Lagos Arbitration Centre, 2023).

However, gaps remain. Critical among these is the absence of explicit legislative guidance clarifying that IP disputes are arbitrable under Nigerian law. This statutory ambiguity continues to inhibit confidence in ADR for IP disputes that may touch public policy sensitive areas (International Bar Association, 2021). Additionally, awareness levels remain low, and trained ADR practitioners with IP-specific expertise are still limited in number (i-ADRNigeria, 2024). Institutional reach outside major urban centres also poses challenges for equitable access (AOC Solicitors, 2023).

To tackle these issues and further cement ADR's role in IP dispute resolution several future steps are imperative:

1. **Legislative Clarification**: Amend statutes or issue regulations affirming IP arbitrability, aligning Nigeria with best international models like Singapore or Hong Kong (International Bar Association, 2021).
2. **Capacity Building**: Expand training programmes via NICArb, WIPO, RCICAL, and Federal agencies to cultivate IP-savvy mediators, arbitrators, and expert panels (Federal Ministry of Justice, 2025).
3. **Hybrid ADR Clauses**: Promote standardized contract clauses combining negotiation, mediation, expert determination, and arbitration ("med-con-arb"), particularly in licensing agreements (International Bar Association, 2021).
4. **Digital Innovation**: Embrace online dispute resolution (ODR), AI-assisted case triage, and e-ADR platforms to manage routine IP claims swiftly especially valuable in infringement disputes involving content takedown or licensing (Chambers & Partners, 2025).

5. **Public Awareness Campaigns**: Engage creative sectors, legal education institutions, SMEs, and rights-holding communities in active outreach to build ADR literacy (i-ADRNigeria, 2024).
6. **Regional Harmonization**: Collaborate with ARIPO, OAPI, and AfCFTA frameworks to promote mutual recognition of awards, cross-border enforcement, and consistent procedural standards across jurisdictions.

By implementing these reforms, Nigeria can secure ADR as the default regime for resolving IP disputes offering a more accessible, efficient, and technically sound alternative to litigation. In doing so, the country not only enhances its domestic legal ecosystem, but also strengthens its position as a leading arbitration hub in Africa. Embedding ADR in intellectual property enforcement supports national innovation agendas, fosters creative industry growth, reduces litigation burdens, and improves investor confidence across digital and cultural economies. Ultimately, a robust ADR-enabled IP framework can drive economic progress by protecting intangible assets and empowering technology, creative, and knowledge-based sectors across Nigeria and the African continent.

References

Anaje Olumide Oke Akinkugbe. (2024). The national policy on arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), 2024: A light at the end of the tunnel? Ao2Law.

AOC Solicitors. (2023). The benefits of alternative dispute resolution methods in resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria.

BusinessDay NG. (2025, June 19). From policy to practice: Realising Nigeria's arbitration ambitions.

Chaman Law Firm. (2023). The role of ADR in commercial disputes in Nigeria: Efficient legal solutions.

Chambers & Partners. (2025). Litigation 2025 – Nigeria: Trends and developments – ADR and technology innovations.

Dentons ACAS-Law. (2023, June 16). A new era for arbitration in Nigeria: Highlights of the Arbitration and Mediation Act, 2023.

Federal Ministry of Justice. (2025). National Policy on Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) 2024–2028.

Global Advisory Experts. (2022). Enforcing Intellectual Property Rights in Nigeria: Navigating towards arbitration and specialised courts.

Guardian Nigeria News. (2023, Nov 13). Developments, review of Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023.

Guardian Nigeria News. (2023, Nov 13). Developments, review of Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023.

Guardian Nigeria. (2024). How lack of support for ADR strains Nigeria's legal system.

Hamu Legal. (2024). The benefits of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms in Nigeria.

Hansoffia Law Firm. (2023). Major innovations in Nigeria's Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023.

International Bar Association. (2021). Arbitrating intellectual property disputes in Nigeria: Lessons from Hong Kong and Singapore.

i-ADR Nigeria. (2020). The resurgence of ADR in Nigeria's legal system.

I-ADRNigeria. (2024, September 29). Nigeria's new Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023: Key changes and what you need to know.

i-ADRNigeria. (2024, November 21). Institute advocates revival of Africa's traditional resolution systems.

Kluwer Arbitration Blog. (2023, June 25). Nigeria's New Arbitration Act: What You Need to Know.

Kluwer Arbitration Blog. (2025, April 8). Enhancing Arbitration and ADR in Nigeria: Key Features of the National Policy on Arbitration and Alternative Dispute Resolution.

Lagos Chamber of Commerce International Arbitration Centre. (2023). Growing importance of arbitration in Nigeria.

Lexworth Legal Partners. (2023). Key innovations in Nigeria's Arbitration and Mediation Act, 2023.

Mondaq. (2021). General advantages of ADR in IP disputes – Nigeria.

Mondaq. (2022). Nigeria's national policy on arbitration and ADR 2024: A game-changer for dispute resolution.

Oloruntimehin, O. O. (2023). Creating a fertile ground for ADR… African Arbitration Association.

Omaplex Law Firm. (2023). Arbitration Practice: Key Innovations of the Arbitration and Mediation Act, 2023.

Oloruntimehin, O. O. (2023). Creating a fertile ground for ADR… African Arbitration Association. (turnosearch7)

Organisation Africaine de la Propriété Intellectuelle. (2025). OAPI Centre for Mediation and Arbitration.

Osagie, E. (2021, January 6). NCC: ADR is solution to protracted copyright litigation. The Nation.

Oturu, D., & Oluwasanya, B. (2021). Resolving intellectual property disputes through ADR in Nigeria.

Oturu, D., & Oluwasanya, O. (2021). Arbitrating intellectual property disputes in Nigeria: Lessons from Hong Kong and Singapore. International Bar Association.

Oturu, D. (2024). The future is IP – Secure your intellectual property rights. BusinessDay Media.

ResearchGate. (2023). Alternative dispute resolution in Nigeria: Issues and challenges.

Simba, R. (2024). Alternative dispute resolution in IP disputes in Africa… LinkedIn.

SPA Ajibade & Co. (2024). Evaluating the effects of the Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023 on mediation practice in Nigeria.

Stakeholders Advocate. (2025, May). *Stakeholders advocate arbitration reforms in Africa to attract investments

The IP Press. (2022, May 10). Alternatives to IP litigation in Nigeria.

Tiwalade Aderoju. (2023, December 20). The Nigerian Arbitration and Mediation Act 2023: A comparison with the Arbitration Conciliation Act 2004 and global practices. IBA.

Wikipedia (2025). Alternative dispute resolution. (2025). In Wikipedia. Retrieved July 2025.

WIPO & Nigerian Judiciary. (2025). WIPO and Nigerian Judiciary collaborate to strengthen IP adjudication capacity in Nigeria

White & Case LLP. (2023, June 21). New arbitration regime comes into force in Nigeria.

WIPO ADR Stories. (2021). A game-changer for copyright and entertainment disputes in Africa. World Intellectual Property Organization.